



Singapore Civil Defence Force

**Singapore Fire Safety Engineering
Guidelines 2015**

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the Singapore Civil Defence Force.

The Singapore Fire Safety Engineering Guidelines Committee

Chairman

Assistant Commissioner Christopher Tan Singapore Civil Defence Force

Deputy Chairmen

Mr. Heng Chai Liang Singapore Civil Defence Force

Secretary

Maj Tong Hong Haey Singapore Civil Defence Force

Editor

Mr. Nicholas Lee Singapore Civil Defence Force

Members

Er. Shyam Dayanandan Institution of Engineers, Singapore (IES)/C2D

Mr. Bryan Chin Association of Consulting Engineers Singapore (ACES)/AECOM

Mr. Zach Liew Institution of Fire Engineers (IFE) Singapore / Weil Singapore

Mr. Liew Kim Hoe Society of Fire Protection Engineers (SFPE) Singapore/LKH Fire

Er. Henry Ho Ignesis

Miss Ruth Wong Arup Singapore

Er. Victor Ho Hilt

Er. Yeo Swee Khiank Sereca Fire

Maj Chong Kim Yuan Singapore Civil Defence Force

Maj Jeffrey Ng Singapore Civil Defence Force

Dr Amer Magrabi Lote Consulting

CONTENTS

PART 1

Chapter 1	1.0	Introduction	8
	1.1	Regulatory Framework	9
	1.2	Definitions	11
Chapter 2	2.0	Fire Scenarios	15
	2.1	Base Case	15
	2.2	Sensitivity Studies	15
	2.2.1	Buoyancy Test	15
	2.2.2	Wind Effects	15
	2.2.3	Fan efficiency	16
	2.2.4	Increase in fire growth rate	16
	2.2.5	Fire Blocks Exit / Exit Unavailable	16
	2.2.6	Delay in detection time	16
	2.2.7	Smouldering Fire	16
Chapter 3	3.0	Available Safe Egress Time (ASET)	17
	3.1	Fire Hazards	17
	3.2	Design Fire	17
	3.2.(a)	Pre-Flashover Fires	17
	3.2.(a)(i)	Type and nature of fuel	17
	3.2.(a)(ii)	Type of fire plumes	18
	3.2.(a)(iii)	Size/Growth Rate	19
	3.2.(a)(iv)	Method of determining fire size	19
	3.2.(a)(v)	Soot yield	20
	3.2.(b)	Post-Flashover (Used for structural analysis)	21
	3.2.(b)(i)	Ventilation controlled	21
	3.2.(b)(ii)	Time temperature curve	22
	3.3	Acceptance Criteria	23
	3.3.(a)	Temperature	23
	3.3.(b)	Radiation	23
	3.3.(c)	Visibility	23
	3.3.(d)	Fractional Effective Dose (FED)	23
	3.4	Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modelling	24
	3.4.(a)	Define fire modelling goals	24
	3.4 (b)	Characterise the fire scenarios	24
	3.4.(c)	Documentation of output	29
	3.5	Zone modelling	30
	3.6	Approved zone modelling softwares	31

Chapter 4	4.0	Required Safe Egress Time (RSET)	32
	4.1	Detection time	32
	4.2	Notification Time	33
	4.3	Pre-movement time	33
	4.4	Movement time	34
	4.5	Evacuation Models	38
	4.6	Approved evacuation softwarers	39
Chapter 5	5.0	Marked up drawing	40
	5.1	Architectural items in BP submissions	40
	5.2	M & E items in the M&E Plan	41
	5.3	Breakdown of M&E Plan	41
Chapter 6	6.0	Operations & Maintenance Manual	45
	6.1	Cover page	45
	6.2	Role & responsibilities of building operator	45
	6.3	Future A/A	46
	6.4	Affected areas with PB design	46
	6.5	Identification of sub-systems	46
	6.6	Maintenance plan	47
	6.7	Documentation	47
	6.8	Client briefing	47
	6.9	Restrictions	47
	6.10	Compensatory actions	47
	6.11	Relevant circulars to be included	48
	6.12	Credentials and Endorsement of FSE	48
Chapter 7	7.0	Peer Reviewer	49
	7.1	Role of peer reviewer	49
	7.2	Stage of involvement of peer reviewer	50
	7.3	Modelling for Sensitivity Analysis	50
	7.4	Dispute Resolution	50
Chapter 8	8.0	Registered Inspectors	51
	8.1.1	When is a Registered Inspector Required?	51
	8.1.1(a)	Inspection Certificate FORM 1	51
	8.1.1(b)	Inspection Certificate FORM 2	51

PART 2

Chapter 9	9.0	Method of assessment for common deviations	52
	9.1	Common alternative solutions	52
	9.1.1	Smoke Control [Enlarged smoke reservoir (Area, length), or Atrium design (Width, area)] or Enlarged Fire Compartment	52
	9.1.2	Extended 2-way travel distance or Excessive egress capacity	56
	9.1.3	Internal discharge of exit staircase.	59
	9.1.4	Insufficient set back distances from notional boundary	63
	9.1.5	Omission or Reduction of Fire rating of element of structure	64
	9.1.6	Ductless Jet Fans (Non-compliance/s to FSR3:2008)	65

REFERENCES

Annex	A	Methods of determining fire size
Annex	B	Table of Fire Hazards
Annex	C	Enclosure Fire Models
Annex	D	Notification time
Annex	E	Warning systems
Annex	F	Pre-movement time
Annex	G	Circular on Certification by QP for A/A Plans involving PB Fire Safety Designs.
Annex	H	First Schedule of the Fire Safety (Registered Inspector) Regulations
Annex	I	Determining Load/Capacity Ratio for Structural Steel

TABLES

Table	3.1	Design Fire Characteristics (Pre-Flashover)	20
Table	4.1	Constants relating to horizontal and stair travel	35
Table	4.2	Typical horizontal travel speeds for occupants of different mobility levels	36
Table	4.3	Typical travel speeds along staircase for occupants of different mobility levels	36
Table	4.4	Boundary layer width used to determine effective width	37

		Smoke Control [Enlarged smoke reservoir (Area, length), or Atrium design (Width, area)] or Enlarged Fire Compartment	
Table	9.1	Design fires (sprinkler protected building)	54
Table	9.2	Fire size proposed by FSE based on DETACT T2, FPETool or Firecalc	54
Table	9.3	Tenability Limits	54
Table	9.4	Acceptance Criteria	55
Table	9.5	Determining RSET	55
Table	9.6	Sensitivity Analysis (ASET/RSET \geq 1.2)	55
		Extended 2-way travel distance or Inadequate egress capacity	
Table	9.7	Design fires (sprinkler protected)	57
Table	9.8	Fire size proposed by FSE based on DETACT T2, FPETool or Firecalc	58
Table	9.9	Tenability Limits	58
Table	9.10	Acceptance Criteria	58
Table	9.11	Determining RSET	58
Table	9.12	Sensitivity Analysis (ASET/RSET \geq 1.2)	59
		Internal discharge of exit staircase not compliant with clause 2.3.3 (c) of the Fire Code.	
Table	9.13	Design fires (sprinkler protected)	61
Table	9.14	Fire size proposed by FSE based on DETACT T2, FPETool or Firecalc	61
Table	9.15	Tenability Limits	61
Table	9.16	Acceptance Criteria	61
Table	9.17	Determining RSET	62
Table	9.18	Sensitivity Analysis (ASET/RSET \geq 1.2)	62
		Insufficient set back distance from notional boundary	
Table	9.19	Design fires (sprinkler protected)	63
Table	9.20	Acceptance Criteria	63
Table	9.21	Sensitivity Analysis	64
		Omission or Reduction of Fire rating of element of structure	
Table	9.22	Design fires (sprinkler protected)	65
Table	9.23	Acceptance Criteria	65

		Ductless Jet Fans (Non-compliance/s to FSR3:2008)	
Table	9.24	Design fires (sprinkler protected)	66
Table	9.25	Acceptance Criteria	66

FIGURES

Figure	1.1	Performance-Based Framework	10
Figure	3.1	Spill Plume	18
Figure	3.2	Tenability criteria to be sampled at 2.5m from the finish floor level.	22
Figure	3.3	FED Sampling	25

Document History

	Date	Alterations
New Document	Effective from :	N.A.



CHAPTER 1

1.0

INTRODUCTION

The Singapore Fire Safety Engineering Guidelines (SFEG) provides a means for Fire Safety Engineers (FSEs) to carry out Performance-Based (PB) designs to meet the objectives of the Fire Code (Code of Practice for Fire Precautions in Buildings). Where the design of fire safety works deviates from the prescribed or deemed-to-satisfy requirements stipulated in the Fire Code, the FSE may embark on an alternative solution ie PB approach to address these deviations.

The SFEG therefore seeks to guide the FSEs and Peer Reviewers in preparing the relevant documents for submission to SCDF for approval. The documents to be prepared by the FSE include the (i) Fire Safety Engineering Design Brief (FEDB), (ii) Fire Safety Engineering Report (FER) and the (iii) Operations and Maintenance Manual (O&M), while that of Peer Reviewer includes the Peer Reviewer Report (PRR).

This SFEG comprises two main parts, namely:

- Part 1: PB regulatory framework, fire engineering design concepts, submission documentation requirements and the roles and responsibilities of the Fire Safety Engineers, Peer Reviewers and Registered Inspectors (FSE).
- Part 2: Common alternative solutions from prescribed requirements and the general design approaches to address them.

While the latter part outlines the general design approaches to common alternative solutions from prescribed requirements, these are not mandated approaches and users are advised to apply them with care in view that buildings differ in terms of its design layout, fire load, occupant characteristics, etc. For such buildings where their design approach differs from that outlined in this document, the user is advised to engage SCDF early in establishing the design parameters.



Similarly, care must be exercised by the user when using any information in this document. SCDF shall not be held responsible for any wrongful design or misuse of information arising from the use of this document.

1.1 **REGULATORY FRAMEWORK**

1.1.1 A FSE is required to be appointed for the preparation of alternative solutions as part of the building plan submission to SCDF.

The FSE is required to produce a preliminary report - Fire Safety Engineering Design Brief (FEDB) to be submitted to SCDF for in-principle approval. The FEDB outlines the proposed fire safety engineering approach, methodology, and software tools etc. The FSE may consult SCDF on his FEDB proposal prior to its submission.

The FEDB will be assessed by SCDF. Upon the in-principle approval of the FEDB, the FSE can proceed to prepare the Fire Safety Engineering Report (FER) and the Operations and Maintenance Manual (O&M).

After the preparation of the above documents by the FSE, the Peer Reviewer (to be engaged by the owner) has to assess the documents and ensure that the alternative solution is incorporated in the Building and M&E plans. The Peer Reviewer shall produce a report of his assessment in a Peer Reviewer's report.

The Project QP is responsible for collating all the above documents for plans submission to SCDF. The alternative solution shall be endorsed by the FSE. QPs who are also qualified FSEs may endorse in the capacity of both the QP and the FSE.

The submitted plans and documents may be selected by SCDF for subsequent audit checks. Upon completion of the fire safety works, the owner is required to engage a Registered Inspector who is an FSE to inspect the performance-based aspects of the fire safety works. The flowchart below illustrates the process for the Performance-Based plan submission.




Figure 1.1 – The Performance-Based Framework

For fire safety engineering designs involving structural solution, the owner has to engage a FSE who is also a Professional Engineer (PE) in the civil/structural engineering discipline. If the FSE is not a PE(civil/structural), the owner will need to engage a PE (civil/structural) to work together with the FSE. The Peer Reviewer would also need to be a PE in the civil/structural engineering discipline.

1.1.2 While Part two outlines possible design approaches to common alternative solutions from prescribed requirements, these are not mandated approaches and users are advised to apply them with care in view that buildings differ in terms of their design layout, fire load, occupant characteristics, etc. More unique buildings or spaces may require a more in-depth analysis and the user is advised to engage SCDF early in establishing design parameters.

1.1.3 Similarly, care must be exercised by the user when using any information in this document. SCDF shall not be held responsible for any wrongful design or misuse of information arising from the use of this document.



1.2 DEFINITIONS

1.2.1 The abbreviations listed in the following table are used in this Guidelines:-

Abbreviation

Abbreviation	Definition
† BS	British Standard / BS EN
† CP	Code of Practice
† NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
† SS	Singapore Standard

† latest version shall be used.

1.2.2 Engineering solution for the fire safety works to satisfy any fire performance requirements in the Fire Code, being a solution that is based on:

Alternative solution

- (a) A deterministic or probabilistic analysis of fire scenarios or both types of analysis or
- (b) A quantitative or qualitative assessment of design alternatives or both against the fire performance requirements in the Fire Code.

1.2.3 "Approved" means approved by the Relevant Authority

Approved

1.2.4 An area adequately separated from the rest of the building by fire resisting construction and evacuees from the rest of the building enter the area of refuge using an external corridor that links this area to the rest of the building. An area of refuge may also be an area in an adjoining building which is separated from the building under consideration by fire resisting construction and evacuees similarly enter this area of refuge using an external corridor.

Area of refuge^[1]

1.2.5 An atrium within a building is a large open space created by an opening, or a series of openings, in floor assemblies, thus connecting two or more storeys. Atrium is covered at the top and is used for purposes other than those associated with small shafts, such as for stairs, elevators and various services. The sides of the atrium may be open to all floors, to some of the floors, or closed to all or some floors by unrated or rated fire-resistance construction.

Atrium^[1]



1.2.6	Available safe egress time (ASET). Time available for escape for occupants. This is the calculated time interval between the time of ignition of a fire and the time at which conditions become such that the occupant is estimated to be incapacitated (ie, unable to take effective action to escape to a place of safety).	ASET ^[2]
1.2.7	Means exposure to fire for a time that includes fire growth, full development, and decay in the absence of intervention or automatic suppression, beyond which the fire is no longer a threat to building elements intended to perform load-bearing or fire separation functions, or both.	Burnout ^[2]
1.2.8	Computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Computational method that solves equations to represent the movement of fluids in an environment.	CFD ^[2]
1.2.9	Quantitative description of assumed fire characteristics within the design scenario.	Design fire ^[2]
1.2.10	Specific scenario on which a deterministic fire safety engineering analysis is conducted.	Design scenario ^[2]
1.2.11	Time interval between ignition of a fire and its detection by an automatic or manual system or people.	Detection time ^[2]
1.2.12	Time interval between the time of warning of a fire being transmitted to the occupants and the time at which the occupants of a specified part of a building or all of the building are able to enter a place of safety.	Evacuation time ^[2]
1.2.13	Stage of fire development after a fire has reached its maximum intensity and during which the heat release rate and the temperature of the fire are decreasing.	Fire decay ^[2]
1.2.14	Stage of fire development during which the heat release rate and the temperature of the fire are increasing.	Fire growth ^[2]
1.2.15	Fire load Quantity of heat which can be released by the complete combustion of all the combustible materials in a volume, including the facings of all bounding surfaces (Joules).	Fire load ^[2]



1.2.16	Fire load energy density (FLED). Fire load per unit area (MJ/m ²).	FLED ^[2]
1.2.17	Application of engineering methods based on scientific principles to the development or assessment of designs in the built environment through the analysis of specific design scenarios or through the quantification of risk for a group of design scenarios.	Fire safety engineering ^[2]
1.2.18	Stage of fire transition to a state of total surface involvement in a fire of combustible materials within an enclosure.	Flashover ^[2]
1.2.19	Fractional effective dose (FED). The fraction of the dose (of toxic gases or thermal effects) that would render a person of average susceptibility incapable of escape.	FED ^[2]
1.2.20	State of total involvement of combustible materials in a fire.	Fully developed fire ^[2]
1.2.21	Thermal energy produced by combustion of unit mass of a given substance (kJ/g).	Heat of combustion ^[2]
1.2.22	Thermal energy produced by combustion (Joules).	Heat release ^[2]
1.2.23	Heat release rate (HRR). Rate of thermal energy production generated by combustion (kW or MW).	HRR ^[2]
1.2.24	State of physical inability of occupants to accomplish a specific task.	Incapacitated ^[2]
1.2.25	Measure of the attenuation of a light beam passing through smoke expressed as the logarithm to the base 10 of the opacity of smoke.	Optical density of smoke ^[2]
1.2.26	Ratio of incident light intensity to transmitted light intensity through smoke under specified conditions.	Opacity of smoke ^[2]
1.2.27	Pre-movement time is the time interval between occupants being informed to evacuate and the time in which they begin to travel to a safe location.	Pre-movement time



1.2.28	A Registered Inspector (R.I.) is a person who is registered under the Fire Safety Act to be qualified and competent to inspect fire safety works in buildings to ascertain the degree of compliance of fire safety requirements.	Registered Inspector
1.2.29	Time required for escape. This is the calculated time period required for the occupants to travel from their location at the time of fire ignition to a place of safety.	RSET ^[2]
1.2.30	Response Time Index (RTI). The measure of the reaction time to a fire phenomenon of the sensing element of a fire safety device.	RTI ^[2]
1.2.31	Barrier that exhibits fire integrity, structural adequacy, thermal insulation, or a combination of these for a period of time under specified conditions (in a fire resistance test).	Separating element ^[2]
1.2.32	Amount of smoke produced per unit time in a fire or fire test.	Smoke production rate ^[2]
1.2.33	Extinction area of smoke produced by a test specimen in a given time period, divided by the mass lost from the test specimen in the same time period.	Specific extinction area ^[2]
1.2.34	In the context of the standard test for fire resistance, is the time in minutes for which a prototype specimen has continued to carry its applied load within defined deflection limits.	Structural adequacy ^[2]
1.2.35	Flame spread away from the source of ignition across the surface of a liquid or a solid.	Surface spread of flame ^[2]
1.2.36	The distance required to be traversed from the most remote point in any room or space to the edge of a door opening directly to <ol style="list-style-type: none">an exit staircase, oran exit passageway, oran open exterior space.	Travel distance ^[1]
1.2.37	Maximum distance at which an object of defined size, brightness and contrast can be seen and recognised.	Visibility ^[2]



CHAPTER 2

2.0 FIRE SCENARIOS

This guidelines sets out 7 fire scenarios and sensitivity analyses that the FSE is required to consider (qualitatively and/or quantitatively), depending on alternative solution(s) proposed. Refer to Part 2 of the SFEG to determine which fire scenarios to apply.

These scenarios may not be exhaustive and that FSE would need to consider other scenarios where appropriate.

- 2.1 Design scenario representative of a typical fire within the occupancy considering its usage, population size, fuel load and ignition sources, ventilation, means of escape provisions, etc. Base case

All fire safety measures, including but not limited to, engineered smoke control systems, fire detection, means of escape, are assumed to be available and working as designed.

Depending on the scope of the performance-based assessment, there could be more than one base case design fire scenario. The design fire locations shall be located at the most onerous/credible locations applicable to the Performance based design.

- 2.2 Sensitivity studies shall be conducted to test the robustness of the proposed design. These mandatory studies as described below (where applicable) may not be exhaustive and the FSE is to propose all relevant sensitivity analysis in the FEDB. Sensitivity Studies

SCDF may also request for other sensitivity analyses to be conducted, depending on the nature of the project and the extent of the alternative solutions.

- 2.2.1 Sensitivity Test 1 – Buoyancy Buoyancy Test

- (a) A 1 MW fire to test buoyancy for natural smoke control system.

- 2.2.2 Sensitivity Test 2 – Wind Effects Wind Effects

- (a) One side of vents (side with the most openings) is assumed to fail (ie vents modelled to be in closed position or subjected to wind effects that may negatively affect the vents) for natural smoke control system.



2.2.3	Sensitivity Test 3 – Fan efficiency	Fan failure
	(a) To assume n-1 fans operation during fire mode, where n is the number of duty fans). (Not required if there are 2 or more standby fans)	
	(b) Alternatively, a 20% increase in fire size (peak HRR and fire perimeter) can be adopted in lieu of fan failure.	
2.2.4	Sensitivity Test 4 – Increase in fire growth rate	Increase in fire growth rate
	(a) Fire is assumed to grow at a faster rate using t-squared fire e.g. from medium to fast or from fast to ultrafast.	
2.2.5	Sensitivity Test 5 – Fire rendering an exit unusable	Fire Blocks Exit / Exit Unavailable
	(a) A fire starts in an escape route and can potentially block an exit. Applies to premises/spaces where there are at least 2 exits.	
	(b) FSE should consider the largest exit or the most-utilized exit to be blocked.	
	(c) FSE would need to consider this if the alternative solution involves means of escape and/or engineered smoke control.	
2.2.6	Sensitivity Test 6 – Delay in detection time	Delay in detection time
	(a) Failure of primary smoke/fire detection system (i.e. the first device that detects the smoke/fire).	
2.2.7	Sensitivity Test 7 – Smouldering Fire	Smouldering Fire
	(a) This scenario addresses the concern regarding a slow, smouldering fire that poses a threat to sleeping occupants. Such fires may fill the rooms with toxic gases but may not be large enough to be detected by fire alarms or other occupants.	
	(b) Would typically be considered if the alternative solution involves sleeping occupancies.	



CHAPTER 3

3.0 AVAILABLE SAFE EGRESS TIME (ASET)

Available safety egress time or ASET is the time available for escape for occupants. This is the calculated time interval between the time of ignition of a fire and the time at which conditions become untenable for the occupants to escape to a place of safety).

As part of the performance based analysis, ASET is compared against Required Safe Egress Time (RSET) after applying the relevant safety factor. The factors that affect the ASET are discussed below.

As not all input parameters can be accurately predicted, safety factors are applied to the analysis to cater to these uncertainties. Safety factors to be adopted are discussed in Chapter 9.

3.1 Fire hazards

Fire hazards

The FSE would need to identify all possible fire hazards within the scope of his/her study and determine which would be selected as design fires.

3.2 Design Fires

Design Fires

Depending on the scope and objectives of the fire engineering analysis, the design fires would generally fall into two main categories, which are pre and post flashover fires.

(a) Pre-Flashover fires are generally used for most design fires unless the design involves structural fire engineering analysis (where post flashover fires are used). For pre-flashover fire scenarios, the minimum details to be documented are as follows:

Pre-flashover fires

(i) Type and nature of fuel. The FSE would need to document the fuels present in the area of study. This includes the location, storage method, quantity or any other special characteristics/considerations.

Type and nature of fuel

(ii) Type of fire plumes

(1) **Axis-symmetric plumes at the centre of room of fire origin** - Such plumes produce lower smoke entrainment rates and higher smoke temperatures, and are generally applicable to all fire scenarios.

Axis-symmetric plumes

(2) **Corner plumes at corner of room of fire origin**

Corner plumes

Such plumes produce lower smoke entrainment rates and are applicable to corner spaces that are most remote from ventilation openings/exhaust points.

(3) **Under a balcony/Spill plumes, window plumes and balcony/line plumes**

Spill plume

Such plumes produce higher smoke entrainment rates and lower smoke temperatures and are applicable to spaces containing intermediate/multiple levels such as atrium spaces and multi-storey buildings.




Figure 3.1 - Spill Plume



(iii) **Size/growth rate** (refer to Table 3.1)

The fire growth rate generally depends on the type of fuel load, which can be generally characterised by the building usage e.g. residential, commercial, etc. In the event a building has different fuel loads e.g., due to mixed occupancies, the FSE is advised to adopt a more conservative fire growth rate or seek a consultation with SCDF.

(iv) **Method of determining fire size**

In most cases, the design fire size can be determined by the active fire protection system that is installed.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>(1) If automatic sprinkler system is installed, fire size can be determined based on 2nd ring of sprinkler activation</p> | <p>Sprinkler protected premises</p> |
| <p>(2) If alternative water suppression systems are installed in lieu of sprinklers, fire size can be determined based on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Pre-action sprinklers <p>Total time taken to activate (i) automatic fire detection system (e.g. smoke or heat detectors, flame detectors, air-sampling detectors, beam detectors, etc) including the time for the water to fill the pipework (water flow lag) and (ii) sprinkler system.</p> * Deluge system <p>Time taken to activate automatic fire detection system (e.g. smoke or heat detectors, flame detectors, beam detectors, etc) and for the water to be discharged.</p> * Water monitor system <p>Time taken to activate automatic fire detection system (e.g. flame detectors, etc) and for the water to be discharged.</p> | <p>Alternative water suppression system</p> <p>Pre-action sprinklers</p> <p>Deluge systems</p> <p>Water monitor system</p> |



- (3) If the building/space is not protected by automatic sprinklers or other water-based fire protection systems, fire size to be determined by fuel load and ventilation area.
- (4) Annex A gives a general guide to how fire size can be determined under various conditions.
- (v) Soot yield

Soot yield

FSE may adopt a soot yield, which refers to mass of soot generated during combustion divided by the mass loss of the test specimen, not lower than 0.1, unless he/she has justification to adopt other values.

Table 3.1 : Design Fire Characteristics (Pre-Flashover)

Location / Usage	Fire growth rate
Areas of special/high hazard Industrial (performance requirements also provided under prescribed Code of Practice for Fire Precautions in Buildings 2013 FSR 4: 2008)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Depends of fuel load burning - Pool fires (e.g. fuel, etc) will be nearly instantaneous - High rack storage potentially between Fast t^2 to Ultrafast t^2; potentially t^3
Back of house areas / service areas	Depends of fuel load burning but generally Fast t^2
Retail	Fast t^2
F&B (restaurants, food courts, coffee shops, hawker centres, fast food outlets)	Fast t^2
Car parks Jet fan ductless system (performance requirements also provided under prescribed Code of Practice for Fire Precautions in Buildings 2013 FSR 3: 2008)	<p>Fire growth rates are not prescribed as FSE to adopt the following fire sizes (or higher if deemed necessary by FSE) depending on the type of vehicles expected.</p> <p>With sprinkler protection, the total HRR for the following types of vehicles are as follows :</p> <p>4 MW for cars/fork lifts 10 MW for goods vehicle 20 MW for buses/coaches</p>



Location / Usage	Fire growth rate
Offices	Fast t ²
School classrooms, lecture halls, museums	Medium t ²
Places of assembly (auditorium, theatres, performing arts)	Seating – Medium t ² Stage - Fast t ² If there is no control on the type of materials used for scenery, staging props (i.e. use of polystyrene, polyurethane, non-fire retardant drapes and curtains, etc), stage – Ultrafast t ²
Exhibition (e.g. convention, expos, etc)	Fast or Ultrafast t ² , depending on items exhibited
Recreational, amusement, night entertainment	Fast t ² If there is no control on the type of materials used for scenery, staging props (i.e. use of polystyrene, polyurethane, non-fire retardant drapes and curtains, etc), stage – Ultrafast t ²

(b) Post-Flashover (Used for structural fire engineering analysis)

Post flashover fires

(i) Ventilation Controlled

Ventilation Controlled

In a post-flashover fire, the heat release rate can be limited by the amount of air that can enter the fire affected compartment.

Ventilation controlled heat release rate, Q_v :

$$Q_v = 1.5 \times A_v \times h^{1/2} \text{ ----- (3.1)}$$

Where:

A_v is total area of wall openings (m²)

h is the weighted average height of openings (m)

(ii) Time-Temperature Curves (Flashover)

References can also be made to other guidance documents including time-temperature curves (e.g. parametric, Swedish fire curves).

- 3.3 Where the fire engineering assessment requires an assessment of human tenability to be made, the following limits of acceptability will apply:




Figure 3.2 Tenability criteria to be sampled at 2.5m from the finish floor level.

- (a) Smoke Temperature – The average upper layer smoke temperature shall not exceed 200°C measured at 2.5m height from finished floor level and the average lower layer smoke temperature shall not exceed 60°C.
- (b) Radiation – Where occupants are expected to egress past a fire, the radiative heat flux shall not exceed 2.5 kW/m².
- (c) Visibility at 2.5m above the floor level shall be greater than 10m.
- (d) Where the use of FED is to be used as an acceptance criterion, (for example, in situation where the ceiling is low and the use of acceptance criteria (a) to (c) is not feasible).

Fractional Effective Dose (FED) for temperature and toxic gases shall not exceed 0.3.

Time-Temperature Curves

Acceptance Criteria

Temperature

Radiation

Visibility

Fractional Effective Dose

The use of FED as a criterion shall be subject to SCDF's agreement. When proposed, the FSE would need to justify for FED criterion to be adopted in the design. Where FED analysis is permitted by SCDF, the guide for the sampling shall be as follows

(i) Methodology for FED determination

The recommended methodology for FED determination is proposed below. Depending on the nature of the deviation, FSE may propose other methodologies for determining FED. FSE would need to consult SCDF before embarking on the FEDB.

- (1) FED is sampled at the floor on fire and at all the entrances to places of safety (Eg: Doors to staircases/smoke stop lobby or doors to the external). These are areas where queuing is expected to take place.

Snap shots of egress modelling would need to be submitted to SCDF to show when queuing has reached the peak. This is to justify areas where FED sampling will be carried out.

- (2) Calculate or model the queuing domain and another 5 m away for the FED sampling.




Figure 3.3 - FED Sampling

Methodology for FED determination



- (3) FED shall not be taken too near any air intake openings. As a guide, this shall be at least 5 m away from any air intake openings.
- (4) The sampling devices shall be spaced adequately close for better resolution. As a guide, the maximum placement distance between sampling devices (for measuring temperature, CO, CO₂, O₂, etc) for FED sampling shall not exceed 1m.
- (5) Mark down on the plan and report where the FED sampling was taken.

3.4 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modelling

CFD modelling

With the advancement of performance-based fire engineering design, CFD is routinely used as an analysis tool as it possesses the ability to handle the complex geometries and characteristics of fire.

In carrying out the CFD modelling, the following steps are recommended:

(a) Define fire modelling goals

Define fire modelling goals

To state clearly that the results of the CFD modelling shall be used to justify the performance based design.

(b) Characterise the fire scenarios

Characterising the fire scenarios

Characterise the relevant fire scenarios from fire ignition, growth, detection, fire suppression and smoke control (if applicable). Selected scenarios should represent a complete set of fire conditions that are important to test the robustness of the proposed fire engineering design. These are discussed further below:



(i)	Enclosure	Enclosures
	<p>Define the enclosure being studied in the CFD modelling. The enclosure details should include the identity of the enclosures that belong in the fire model analysis, the physical dimensions of the enclosures included in the fire model, and the boundary conditions of each enclosure.</p>	
(ii)	Fire Locations	Fire Locations
	<p>The fire locations used in the CFD modelling shall follow the proposed fire locations established from earlier sections of this guideline.</p>	
(iii)	Fire Characteristics	Fire Characteristics
	<p>The source fire is the critical input for the fire scenario and is often described as the “ignition source.” The source fire is typically characterised by a heat release rate though other important aspects include the physical dimensions of the burning object, its composition, and its behaviour when burning. The heat release rate may be specified as a continuous function of time (e.g. a t^2 fire), or it may be an array of heat release rate and time data.</p>	
(iv)	Minimum details needed to describe the fire	Minimum details needed
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Heat Release Rate (HRR)• HRR per unit area• Fire perimeter• Elevation from finished floor level• Soot yield• Heat of combustion• Toxic substances (for FED analysis)• Radiative heat flux (for FED analysis)	
	<p>The HRR chart is to be included in the FER to demonstrate the fire characteristics. The fire characteristics used in the CFD modelling shall follow the fire hazards identified and design fire(s) established in Table 3.1 of this guideline.</p>	



(v) Selecting the CFD software

Selecting the
CFD software

Given the availability of different CFD models, the FSE is responsible to assess its suitability for use in the fire engineering study. Whilst this set of guidelines is written predominantly for FDS considering its wide use in the fire engineering fraternity in Singapore, they also apply in a similar manner to other CFD models.

The list of recognised CFD softwares is as follows:

- Fire Dynamic Simulator (FDS)
- Fluent
- Phoenics

Approval from SCDF is required if a CFD software, other than the above, is to be used. The use of any particular CFD software (including its version) must be reflected in the FEDB.

(vi) Computational domain

Computational
domain

The computational domain should be as close as reasonably practicable to the actual enclosure. Simplification (such as modelling a slightly curved wall as a straight wall) can be accepted as long as it does not adversely affect the outcome of the CFD modelling.

Where inlet and/or exhaust vents are located at the domain boundaries, FSE has to include an additional 5m buffer outwards to account for the aerodynamics of the vents.

Where wind effects are being modelled, the domain should be extended correspondingly to take this into consideration.



(vii) Boundary conditions

Boundary conditions

Assumptions on the type of surface and material properties such as ambient temperature and properties of surfaces must be included. In situations where adiabatic/inert surfaces are assumed, justification on why such assumptions are considered appropriate must be included.

Assumptions on ambient temperature & external wind effect for the areas being modelled must be included.

As a guide, ambient temperature in air-conditioned spaces can be taken to be 24 °C while that of non air-conditioned spaces, 32 °C.

Should other temperatures be adopted, FSE will provide the justifications.

(viii) Mesh and grid resolution

Mesh and grid resolution

The type of meshing i.e. structured vs unstructured and grid resolution can have significant impact on the accuracy of CFD computation, particularly for large eddy simulation models.

In the case of FDS, FSE has to state whether single mesh or multi-mesh is to be used. Other information includes screenshots to illustrate the mesh set up, the mesh boundaries and the respective grid resolution. The recommended fine grid resolution should follow the FDS user guide. Fine grid shall extend at least 5m (in x, y and z direction) from the edge of burner in all directions. Progressive increase in grid resolution shall follow aspect ratio of 1:2.

(ix) Duration of simulation

Duration of simulation

For steady-state CFD modelling, the simulation should be iterated until the results converge.

For transient CFD modelling, the simulation should continue until steady-state condition is observed.



As a guide the fire size should reach the design fire size. Temperature and visibility readings should be taken to demonstrate that the readings have stabilised.

(x) Smoke management systems

Relevant smoke management system i.e. engineered smoke control system, smoke purging system or smoke vents must be included in the CFD modelling.

For the case of engineered smoke control / purging system, the following information shall be provided

- Ductwork layout (Deeper than 10% of floor height)
- Beams (Deeper than 10% of floor height)
- Extraction grilles
- Make-up air paths
- Heat/Smoke detectors (where applicable)
- Sprinkler heads (where applicable)
- Storage racks. FSE may assume a reasonable racking structure if the actual design is not known yet.

The actual system design layout must also be included for comparison with the CFD model.

For the case of smoke vents, the following information shall be provided :

- Smoke vents and replacement air vents (orientation and aerodynamic free area)
- Smoke detectors (where applicable)
- Sprinkler heads (where applicable)

In the FER, the FSE is to include all relevant architectural plan & elevations for comparison with the CFD model.

Smoke management systems



FSE has to state the assumptions with respect to ramping up the operation of the smoke management system. As a general guide, the smoke management system is at 0% capacity at start of simulation. Upon its activation (to be based on smoke detectors/sprinklers depending on the system design), the system would reach full design capacity in less than 60s, as required in Clause 7.6.26 of the Fire Code.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>(xi) Fire detection system</p> <p>Fire and smoke detectors must be included in the CFD model if they are provided to the actual spaces.</p> | <p>Fire detection system</p> |
| <p>(xii) Fire suppression systems</p> <p>It shall be assumed that the fire is maintained at the design fire size and not mitigated by the suppression system in the modelling study.</p> | <p>Fire Suppression Systems</p> |
| <p>(c) Documenting the output</p> <p>(i) FSE to include output quantities for visibility, temperature and velocity. Additional output quantities e.g. carbon monoxide, heat flux, FED may be required depending on the scope of the performance-based design.</p> <p>(ii) In addition to slice files/snapshots, other output parameters such as radiometers, thermocouples, etc shall be included in the FER as the slice files may not capture all the relevant details.</p> <p>(iii) For slice parameter, FSE has to include slices in all three planes (x, y and z-planes).</p> <p>(1) At least 3 slices of X-plane & Y-plane with one cut along the centreline of the fire origin. Include additional slices at critical areas in the model. More slices may be required if a spill plume forms part of the analysis.</p> | <p>Documentation</p> <p>Slice files</p> |



- (2) At least 2 slices of Z-plane at 1.7m (estimated human height level), and 2.5m above the finished floor level. Include additional slices at critical areas in the model. Where the mesh does not match, FSE shall provide slices at the next higher/available height (i.e. 1.8m and 2.6m).
- (3) For the X, Y and Z planes, the slice/snapshot shall be taken at :
- At 8 minutes
 - RSET
 - RSET with safety factor
 - Steady state (If it is earlier than RSET with safety factor)
 - Any other slices that SCDF or the FSE deems fit.
- (iv) Include the following CFD results in the FER.
- Heat Release Rate
 - Visibility readings
 - Temperature readings
 - FED (if applicable)
 - Visibility, temperature and FED readings should be taken at the reference height as defined under the tenability acceptance criteria.

3.5 Zone modelling

Zone modelling

Zone models can be adopted to determine ASET. However, the compartment size limits for the use of zone models shall be according to the maximum reservoir sizes stated in the Fire Code, or the size limits stipulated by the respective software user manual, whichever is lower.

In projects where zone models are used to determine ASET, SCDF may still require the FSE to conduct CFD simulation.



3.6 The list of approved zone modelling softwares are :

- CFAST
- Branzfire/B-Risk

Approved
modelling
software



CHAPTER 4

4.0 REQUIRED SAFE EGRESS TIME (RSET)

Required safe egress time or RSET is the time required for escape. This is the calculated time required for occupants to travel from their location at the time of ignition of fire to a place of safety. The RSET would need to be less than the ASET, with relevant safety factors applied.

$$RSET = (t_d + t_n + t_{pre}) + (t_{trav} \text{ and/or } t_{flow}) \text{ ----- (4.1)}$$

where:

t_d = detection time from deterministic modelling

t_n = time from detection to notification of occupants

t_{pre} = time from notification until evacuation begins

t_{trav} = time spent moving toward a place of safety, and

t_{flow} = time spent in congestion controlled by flow characteristics

4.1 Detection time (t_d)

Detection time

Time interval between ignition of a fire and its detection by an automatic or manual system. The detection time shall be based on the activation of the 1st ring of the detector system. The following methods can be used to estimate the detection time (t_d):

- (a) Based on CFD modelling conducted with the detectors/sprinklers above a fire using approved fire models
- (b) Based on Alpert's^[11] ceiling jet correlation.
- (c) Based on zone models.

4.1.1 For automatic detection, the input parameters used in the modelling study shall be the same as the properties of the detectors/sprinklers used in the design as well as the layout of the detectors/sprinkler.

Automatic detection

- (i) Response Time Index (RTI) of sprinkler/detector.



- (ii) Activation temperature (T_{act}) of sprinkler/detector.
- (iii) C-factor for sprinklers.
- (iv) Optical density at alarm for smoke detectors.
- (v) Radial distances adopted shall be based on a code-compliant (SS CP 10 (Code of Practice for Installation and Servicing of Electrical Fire Alarm System)/SS CP 52 (Code of Practice For Automatic Fire Sprinkler System)/NFPA/etc) system design. For detection time, the radial distances may be based on first-ring activation of sprinklers/detectors.

Where there are no automatic fire detection systems installed, manual/human detection time can be estimated based on smoke layer height reaching 10% of the room height. FSEs are advised to consult SCDF before adopting this approach.

Manual/Human detection

4.2 Notification Time (t_n)

Notification Time

This is the time required for building management to confirm with DECAM companies whether the fire alarm is a false alarm or a confirmed fire and this time is taken to be 120 seconds. FSE to justify if other timings are adopted.

4.3 Pre-movement time (t_{pre})

Pre-movement time

Pre-movement time is the time interval between occupants being informed to evacuate and the time in which they begin to travel to a safe location. Refer to Table F-1 in Annex F.

- (a) The quantification of pre-movement time is highly dependent on occupant behaviour and fire safety systems in place. The behaviour of escaping occupant depends on the following factors:
 - (i) Building characteristics (i.e. occupancy type, detection, alarm, fire safety management and building layout)



(ii) Occupant characteristics (occupant numbers, alertness and familiarity)

(iii) Exposure to fire effluent

(b) Annex F presents a more detailed explanation on some of these factors involved in estimating the pre-movement times. Any of the pre-movement times obtained from New Zealand document, C/VM2² in Table A6-1 can be used in the egress design and the FSE would need to justify his/her choice.

4.4 Movement time (t_{trav} or t_{flow})

Movement time

The time taken by occupants to move to a place of safety is determined by the longer timing of:

- The time taken to move to the doorway of the exit staircase (eg analysing egress from compartment/floor) or the final exit door at level one (analysing total building evacuation) (t_{trav}), or
-
- The flow time, (t_{flow}) (i.e time taken for all the occupants to flow through a restriction, typically a doorway, when queuing is necessary).

(a) Travel time (t_{trav})

Travel time
Horizontal travel

(i) For horizontal travel, the travel time will be calculated based on the estimated walking speed. Horizontal travel speed will be calculated using equation (4.2).

$$S = k - akD \text{ ----- (4.2)}$$

Where

- S is horizontal travel speed (m/s)
- k is 1.4 for horizontal travel
- a is 0.266
- D is the occupant density of the affected space (persons/m²)



Horizontal travel time is then calculated using equation (4.3) below:

$$t_{\text{trav}} = L_{\text{trav}} / S \quad \text{----- (4.3)}$$

Where

L_{trav} is the required travel distance (m)

- (ii) For vertical travel, the values used for the factor k are a function of the stair riser and thread as shown in Table 4.2.

Vertical travel

Table 4.1: Constants relating to horizontal and stair travel (extracted from PD-7974 Part 6)

Exit route element		k	Speed
Corridor, aisle, ramp, doorway		1.40	1.19
Riser (mm)	Tread (mm)		
191	254	1.00	0.85
178	279	1.08	0.95
165	305	1.16	1.00
165	330	1.23	1.05

- (iii) Table 4.3 and 4.4 also lists some typical travel speeds for occupants of different levels of mobility, both for horizontal travel as well as vertical travel via staircase.

Different levels of mobility.

Table 4.2: Typical horizontal travel speeds for occupants of different mobility levels

Speed Of Horizontal Surface				
Subject Group	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range	Inerquartile Range
All Disabled	1.00	0.42	0.10-1.77	0.71-1.28
With Locomotion Disabilities	0.80	0.32	0.24-1.68	0.57-1.02
No Aid	0.95	0.32	0.24-1.68	0.70-1.02
Crutches	0.94	0.30	0.63-1.35	0.67-1.24
Walking Sticks	0.81	0.38	0.26-1.60	1.49-1.08
Rollator	0.57	0.29	0.10-1.02	0.34-0.83
No Locomotion Disability	1.25	0.32	0.82-1.77	1.05-1.34
Electric Wheelchair	0.89	-	0.13-1.77	-
Manual Wheelchair	0.69	0.35	0.13-1.35	0.38-0.94
Manual Wheelchair	0.36	0.14	0.11-0.70	0.20-0.47
Assisted Wheelchair	1.30	0.94	0.84-1.98	1.02-1.59
Assisted Ambulant	0.78	0.34	0.21-1.40	0.58-0.92

Source: Table 3-13.2 from Section 3, Chapter 13: "Movement Of People: The Evacuation Timing", The SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, 3rd Edition, NFPA Inc., Quincy, Massachusetts, 2002

Table 4.3: Typical travel speeds along staircase for occupants of different mobility levels

Speed On Stairs				
Subject Group	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range	Interquartile Range
Ascent				
With Locomotion Disabilities	0.38	0.14	0.13-0.62	0.26-0.52
No Aid	0.43	0.13	0.14-0.62	0.35-0.55
Crutches	0.22	-	0.19-0.31	0.26-0.45
Walking Stick	0.35	0.11	0.18-0.49	-
Rollator	0.14	-	-	-
Without Disabilities	0.70	0.24	0.55-0.82	0.55-0.78
Descendent				
With Locomotion Disabilities	0.33	0.16	0.11-0.70	0.22-0.45
No Aid	0.36	0.14	0.11-0.70	0.2-0.47
Crutches	0.22	-	-	-
Walking Stick	0.32	0.12	0.11-0.49	0.24-0.46
Rollator	0.16	-	-	-
Without Disabilities	0.70	0.26	0.45-1.10	0.53-0.90

Source: Table 3-13.3 from Section 3, Chapter 13: "Movement Of People: The Evacuation Timing", The SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, 3rd Edition, NFPA Inc., Quincy, Massachusetts, 2002



(b) Flow time (t_{flow})

- (i) The flow rate of persons passing through a particular point along an exit route can be calculated using equation (4.4):

$$F_c = (1-aD)kDW_e \quad \text{----- (4.4)}$$

Where:

F_c is the calculated flow rate (persons/s)

D is the occupant density near flow constriction (persons/m²)

W_e is the effective width of component being traversed (m)

k and a are defined in equation (4.2) above.

- (ii) The effective width for various exit route elements can be determined from Table 4.4, by subtracting the boundary layer on each side from the measured exit width. For doorways that are not mechanically held open, a maximum flow rate of 50 persons/min/door leaf is recommended for design.

Flow time
Flow rates

Effective width

Table 4.4: Boundary layer width used to determine effective width

Exit Route Element	Boundary Layer On Each Side(m)
Stairway-walls or side tread	0.15
Railing or handrail	0.09
Theatre Chairs, Stadium Bench	0.00
Corridor wall and ramp wall	0.20
Obstacle	0.10
Wide Concours, passageway	0.46
Door, Archway	0.15

SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, edition 3 (Table 3.14.1)



- (iii) For flows through a doorway, the occupant density to be used in equation (4.5) can be estimated to be 1.9 persons/m². This value can be referred from the New Zealand Document C/VM2^[2] document.

Flow through doorway

The flow time can then be determined from the flow rate using equation (4.5):

$$t_{\text{flow}} = \text{Number of occupants} / F_c \text{ ----- (4.5)}$$

4.5 Evacuation Models

Evacuation Models

Evacuation modelling is increasingly becoming a part of performance-based analyses to assess the level of life safety provided in buildings. In some cases, FSEs use hand calculations to assess life safety, and in others, evacuation models are used. Hand calculations may be allowed for occupancies with a lower occupant loading, such as factories and warehouses.

However, for buildings/spaces with large occupant loads such as in shopping centres, exhibition halls, offices and places of public resort and the like, evacuation modelling would be required by SCDF. If unsure, FSE is encouraged to consult SCDF before finalising the FEDB.

- (a) Hand calculations usually adopt the equations given in the Emergency Movement Chapter of the Society of Fire Protection Engineers (SFPE) Handbook^[14] to calculate mass flow evacuation from any height of building. The occupants are assumed to be standing at the doorway to the stair on each floor as soon as the evacuation begins. The calculation focuses mainly on points of constriction throughout the building (egress doorways) and calculates the time for the occupants to flow past these points and to the outside.

Hand calculations



(b) Validation of models

If the proposed software is new or has limited application in Singapore, SCDF may require validation of the software before being allowed for use. The current ways of validating evacuation models are:

- (i) validation against code requirements; (e.g. NFPA 130);
- (ii) validation against fire drills or other people movement experiments/trials;
- (iii) validation against literature on past evacuation experiments (flow rates, etc);
- (iv) validation against other models and third party validation.

Validation of models

4.6

The list of approved evacuation softwares are :

- Simulation of Transient Evacuation and Pedestrian Movements (STEPS)
- Pathfinder
- Simulex
- Exodus

Approved evacuation softwares



CHAPTER 5

5.0 **MARKED UP DRAWINGS**

Fire safety provisions that form part of the performance based design strategy should be highlighted on the respective architectural or Building Plan (BP) and/or Mechanical and Electrical (M&E) plans that are submitted to SCDF for approval. This is to facilitate the audit and documentation of the performance based strategy by SCDF.

These fire safety provisions to be included in the plan submissions fall into these broad categories:

5.1 **BP submission**

Architectural items in BP submissions

The following information to be included in this category include (but not limited to)

- (a) Table/summary of architectural alternative solutions.
- (b) Area/floor(s) under the performance based study to be indicated (via clouding or other means)
- (c) Mark out non-compliances (eg: length the path of extended travel distance/s)
- (d) Details of occupant loading and egress capacity for all affected floors.
- (e) Fire shutters, smoke curtains/barriers
- (f) Floor to floor height
- (g) Compartmentation lines, including fire resistance rating.
- (h) Natural vent openings and their sizes/areas (m²).
- (i) Replacement air doors/windows/vents and their sizes/areas (m²).
- (j) Waivers and consultations applied for and summary of the waiver decision (if any)



5.2	<p>M&E Plan submission</p> <p>The following information to be included in this category include (but not limited to)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) Table/summary of M & E alternative solutions(b) Smoke control system detail(c) Area/floor(s) under the performance based study to be indicated (via clouding or other means)(d) Smoke zones(e) Smoke curtains/barriers(f) Waivers and consultations applied for and summary of the waiver decision (if any)	M & E items in the M&E Plan submissions
5.3	<p>The mark ups for M&E plan can be further broken down to the following :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) Mechanical ventilation<ul style="list-style-type: none">(i) Fan capacity and to also indicate duty and standby fans.(ii) Extract points(iii) Replacement air doors/windows/vents and their sizes/areas (m²).(iv) Demarcation of smoke zones.(b) Fire protection<ul style="list-style-type: none">(i) Sprinkler/Water monitor coverage, etc(ii) Sprinkler details (Discharge rate, Response Time Index, etc)	Breakdown of M&E Plan Mechanical ventilation Fire protection



5.4 Reference Drawings for FEDB & FER Submissions

Reference drawings are to be submitted at the FEDB and FER stages. These drawings should capture all alternative solutions and fire safety provisions that support the performance based design. It should also capture all the elements of the FSE's trial design concept in their alternative design.

Reference drawings would be used by SCDF when deliberating on the performance based fire safety issues for FEDB and FER approval.

5.5 Unlike the drawings for the formal building plan/M&E plan submission, the reference drawings need not be broken up into the architectural and M&E sets. However, the reference set must include all performance based floors and relevant elevations/sections. Items to be marked up on the reference plans include (but not limited to):

- (a) Details of all alternative solutions (alternative solutions are to be numbered).
- (b) Design fires. To mark up location and fire size. All design fires to be numbered. The reference drawings should also include sensitivity studies.
- (c) Floor height/s
- (d) Fire shutters and smoke curtains
- (e) Compartment walls/boundaries, including fire resistance rating.
- (f) Occupant Loading and Egress Capacity
- (g) If they are alternative solutions and form part of the performance based design solution, the reference drawings should show :
 - (i) How reservoir length/area is measured.
 - (ii) Paths of extended travel distance

Reference Drawings for FEDB & FER Submissions



- (iii) Paths of extended travel distance for internal discharge
 - (h) Mechanical ventilation fans and ductwork. To indicate how many duty fans operate during fire emergency and how many standby fans are there.
 - (i) Differentiate separate smoke control zones
 - (j) Smoke control matrix
 - (k) Path(s) of replacement air. To also show openings on the elevation.
 - (l) Path(s) for natural smoke exhaust. To also show openings on the elevation.
 - (m) Sprinkler details (E.g. : temperature rating / RTI / Discharge density)
 - (n) Beam detector location, if any
- 5.6 For drawings to be submitted at the Building Plan approval stage (together with the FER, Peer Reviewer Report & O&M Manual) the submission could contain both (1) the formal BP/M&E set of drawings for approval and the (2) set of reference drawings. The design team may choose to combine both sets into a single set of drawings that would be used for approval and presentation/deliberation by SCDF.
- Reference drawings must be endorsed by the FSE.
- 5.7 The above mark ups need not be in a single drawing as long as all relevant details are captured.
- 5.8 Other administrative requirements include :
- (a) To indicate : **FEDB Reference Drawing** or “**FER Reference Drawing**” at the top right hand corner (if the formal submission drawings are separate from the reference drawings)
 - (b) Soft copies of reference drawings submitted shall be in pdf format



- (c) Waiver of fire safety requirements applied for and summary of the waiver decision(s) (if any)
- (d) Consultation numbers if QP/FSE has sought consultation
- (e) Be dated according to when the reference drawings were submitted to SCDF. This is to eliminate confusion if multiple versions of the drawings are submitted
- (f) Project title block



CHAPTER 6

6.0 OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE MANUAL

The O&M manual explains what the building operator and fire safety manager (FSM) should do to maintain and operate the fire safety systems.

It also gives instructions to the building operator on the restrictions placed on the contents in the building e.g. daily operations, addition & alteration works to the building and change of use of the building, based on FSE's assumptions and design considerations.

The O&M manual can also be used to communicate to the tenants and occupants about these restrictions and their responsibilities. It can also be used as a guide for future renovations and changes to the building. The updated O&M Manual must be given to the owner/client after the performance based audit is closed (see para 6.8).

6.1 The cover page shall clearly state that the O&M Manual is prepared for : Cover Page

- a) Building Owner/Managing Committee/Agent
- b) Facilities Director/Manager
- c) Fire Safety Manager / Company Emergency Response Team (CERT)

6.2 Role & responsibilities of building operator Role & responsibilities of building operator

State the role and responsibilities of the building operator and fire safety manager (FSM), if applicable, in ensuring that the components of the performance-based design are in place, operating properly and a label, "This building has performance-based fire safety design" to be affixed to the main fire alarm panel or zone chart.

This is to alert or remind the building owner and QPs that this is a performance-based building and the Operations and Maintenance Manual must be understood before undertaking any addition and alteration works.



Design considerations with potential impact to life safety or building operations shall be stipulated for the building owner to adopt. Amendments or deviations from the requirements in the operations and maintenance manual may affect the performance-based analysis and solutions.

6.3 Future Addition & Alteration (A/A) Works

Future A/A

State what the building operator needs to do with respect to compliance to the existing Fire Safety Design if there are A&A Works in the future. A letter of no objection from the FSE or QP shall be required as part of the QP's submission if the A/A works clearly do not affect the Performance-Based (PB) design of the building. See SCDF circular on "Certification by QP for A/A Plans Involving Performance-Based Fire Safety Designs" dated 10th October 2013 (Annex G)

6.4 Affected Areas with PB design

Affected areas with PB design

The scope and floor plan of the affected area(s) with PB design shall be clearly shown and demarcated from the other areas that were designed based on prescribed code requirements.

6.5 Identification of sub-systems

Identification of sub-systems

Identify and describe the relevant sub-systems (sequencing, critical design features) for the particular project and their interaction with each other. Some examples are:

- a) Fire detection
- b) Fire protection
- c) Emergency warning
- d) Occupant evacuation
- e) Smoke management
- f) Electromagnetic lock

The above-mentioned sub-systems shall be included for inspection and maintenance as part of the process of obtaining Fire Certificate (FC) for the building.

The O&M manual shall specify that the building operator is advised to inform the QP that this is a performance-based design building.



6.6	<p>Maintenance plan</p> <p>Commissioning, maintenance and subsequent yearly testing and inspection plans shall be developed in accordance with the minimum requirements of the relevant codes of practice and manufacturer's guidelines. If the performance based design requires a higher level of servicing such as higher frequency of maintenance, the FSE shall highlight the additional requirements in the O&M Manual.</p>	Maintenance plan
6.7	<p>Documentation of inspections/testing and their results shall be maintained with the building records. FSE shall include in the need to maintain inspection records.</p>	Documentation
6.8	<p>FSEs would need to conduct a briefing to the client/end user/building operator on all performance-based issues when the Fire Engineering Report is cleared. Official letters must be submitted to SCDF from the client stating that they have been briefed by the FSE and received the O&M Manual.</p>	Client briefing
6.9	<p>Restrictions</p> <p>List the restrictions placed on the building operations. These restrictions may include content in the building, building use/purpose/activity and occupancy, and reliability and maintenance of systems. For example :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Storage heightb) Storage contentc) Limitation on transport vehicle in warehoused) Commercial activity in certain parts of the buildinge) Activity with potential change in occupant type	Restrictions
6.10	<p>Compensatory actions</p> <p>Highlight compensatory actions that must be taken if a fire protection system is impaired (e.g. requires servicing or maintenance, causing it to be out of service temporarily) or removed from service. Any impairment or removal of fire protection system may be subject to total review of the fire safety systems by a FSE.</p>	Compensatory actions



6.11	Relevant circulars	Relevant circulars
	FSE to include all relevant circulars affecting buildings with performance-based design. These include :	
	a) Certification by QP for A/A plans involving Performance-Based fire safety designs dated 18 Oct 2013 and	
	b) Identification of buildings with Performance-Based fire safety designs & certification by QP for A/A plans dated 5 th June 2012	
6.12	Credentials and Endorsement of FSE	Credentials and Endorsement of FSE
	Include name, credentials and endorsement of the FSE who prepared the manual.	



CHAPTER 7

7.0 Peer Reviewer

The Peer Reviewer is another FSE, engaged by the building developer/owner, who is responsible to check the adequacy of the performance-based solution prepared by the FSE for the particular project. The Peer Reviewer is required to submit an official report (Peer Reviewer's Report) to SCDF detailing his comments on the FSE's work. It is expected of the Peer Reviewer to conduct a separate fire or evacuation modelling to verify the design solution proposed by the FSE, preferably using different software from that used by the FSE.

In addition, the Peer Reviewer should comment on the assumptions, fire safety engineering approach, methodology, design parameters and software tools in the FER, etc proposed by the FSE. The Peer Reviewer will also need to furnish a Peer Reviewer declaration form together with the Peer Reviewer's Report in the submission to SCDF.

The Peer Reviewer shall have no vested interest in the project that is being reviewed, or any involvement thereof which may be construed as a conflict of interest. As the peer reviewer must be independent from the project FSE, the peer reviewer should only be involved after the FSE had completed the FER.

7.1 Role of Peer Reviewer

- (a) To review the robustness of the fire engineering study by the FSE, including reviewing design objectives, assumptions, methodologies, input parameters used by FSE.
- (b) To review the fire engineering analysis performed for consistency between FEDB and FER.

Role of Peer Reviewer



- (c) To perform independent sensitivity analysis (e.g. increasing fire size, increasing soot yield, increasing fire perimeter to reduce buoyancy, introduce MV failure, reduce walking speed, reduce awareness factor of exits, increase pre-movement times, etc)
- (d) Peer Reviewers should not be restricted or influenced in their design preference. Peer reviewers may determine their own technical approaches and acceptance criteria which may be different from the FSEs.

7.2 Stage of involvement of Peer Reviewer

Stage of involvement of Peer Reviewer.

- (a) Peer Reviewers shall only be involved after the FSE had completed the FER in order to maintain the level of independency.

7.3 Modelling for Sensitivity Analysis

Modelling for Sensitivity Analysis

- (a) Peer Reviewers shall use a validated modelling tool to conduct independent assessment. The validated modelling tool shall preferably be different from that used by the FSE.

In the event that the Peer Reviewer uses the same modelling tool, the required pre-processing for setting up the model must be independently performed by the Peer Reviewer.

7.4 Dispute Resolution

Dispute Resolution

- (a) Any dispute or difference in opinion (e.g. technical approaches, acceptance criteria, etc.) arising between the FSE and Peer Reviewer should be discussed and resolved between the FSE and Peer Reviewer. In the event that a common resolution cannot be reached, the concerns must be brought to SCDF for resolution.



CHAPTER 8

- 8.0 **REGISTERED INSPECTOR (FSE)** RI FSE
- 8.1.1 When are Registered Inspectors (FSE) required?
- For projects containing alternative solutions, the areas under the performance-based assessment has to be inspected by a SCDF registered RI, who must also be a FSE. His scope of work involves the checking of on-site installation of fire safety engineering works for compliance with the approved PB plans, FER and Operations & Maintenance Manual and to surface irregularities to SCDF.
- (a) Performance-based projects would require RI (FSE), RI (Arch) and RI(M&E). If the RI(Arch) is also a FSE, he/she can be responsible for both the fire safety works listed in Part I of the First Schedule of the Fire Safety (Registered Inspector) Regulations and the performance-based works of the project. See Annex H. RI (Arch)
- (b) If the RI(M&E) is also a FSE, he/she can be responsible for both the fire safety works listed in Part II of the First Schedule of the Fire Safety (Registered Inspector) Regulations and the performance-based works of the project. See Annex H. RI (M&E)



CHAPTER 9

9.0 **METHODS OF ASSESSMENT FOR COMMON ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS**

9.1 This chapter recommends methods to assess common alternative solutions. It covers:

- a. Objectives to be achieved
- b. Design fire scenarios
- c. Tenability limits
- d. Acceptance criteria
- e. Sensitivity analysis

Where a FSE is assessing alternative solutions that are not in this list, it is recommended that the FSE consults SCDF before embarking on the FEDB. The methods of assessment listed serve as a guide and the FSE shall still be responsible for the design solution.

9.1.1 **EXAMPLE 1**

Smoke Control [Enlarged smoke reservoir (Area, length), or Atrium design (Width, area)] or Enlarged Fire Compartment

(a) Root objectives

The root objectives are :

- (i) R2.1 - Occupants must be able to escape to a safe place, directly or through a protected exit, before untenable conditions are reached during a fire emergency.
- (ii) R2.2 - Fire-fighters must be provided with adequate means of access for fire fighting and rescue operations within the building.
- (iii) R7.1 - Maintain tenable conditions for evacuation of occupants and protect them from injury arising from the effects of fire.

Common alternative solutions

Smoke Control [Enlarged smoke reservoir (Area, length), or Atrium design (Width, area)] or Enlarged Fire Compartment



(iv) R7.2 - Provide smoke management in the building for firefighting operations.

(b) Sub objectives

The sub objectives are :

(i) S2.9 - Provisions for adequate ventilation for means of escape.

(ii) S2.13 - Provisions for adequate time for occupant escape from building.

(iii) S2.14 - Provisions for safe movement of people within the means of escape.

(iv) S7.1 - Provisions for ventilation for life safety purposes such that in the event of a fire, evacuation routes are maintained :-

(1) below thermal threshold for human tenability;
and

(2) at visibility levels adequate for occupant evacuation; and

(3) below toxicity threshold for human tenability for the period of time required for escape.

(v) S7.2 - Provisions for ventilation to maintain safe conditions in the means of escape for evacuees for the period of time required for escape.



Table 9.1 – Design fires (sprinkler protected building)

Usage	Location	Size	Remarks
Factory / warehouse / large space or compartment	Centre of space or credible location	Proposed by FSE (E.g. use of 2 nd ring sprinkler activation)	
	In-rack	Proposed by FSE	
	Loading / Unloading bay	10 MW truck fire (as prescribed by the fire code) or higher (if deemed necessary by FSE)	
Atrium	Centre	Proposed by FSE	Axis-Symmetric plume
	Shop/unit	5MW (as prescribed by the fire code) or other appropriate fire size as proposed by FSE.	Axis-Symmetric plume or spill plume.

Table 9.2 – Fire size proposed by FSE based on DETACT T2, FPETool or Firecalc

Usage	Growth rate	Remarks
Factory/warehouse	Ultra fast	2 nd ring sprinkler activation
Factory in-(rack sprinklers)	Ultrafast	Next higher level of sprinkler activation
Usage other than industrial.	Fast/Ultrafast	2 nd ring sprinkler activate.

Table 9.3 – Tenability Limits

	Tenability Criteria
Smoke layer 2.5m above relevant Finished Floor Level (FFL)	Visibility > 10m Temperature < 200°C
Smoke layer drops below 2.5m above relevant FFL	Temperature < 60°C



Table 9.4 – Acceptance Criteria

Scenario	
Base case	≥ 2 Safety Factor (ASET/RSET)
Sensitivity analysis	≥ 1.2 Safety Factor (ASET/RSET)
	Base cases for healthcare occupancies or projects deemed appropriate by SCDF (e.g. of national and cultural importance such as airport, Large-scale indoor gardens, National Art Galleries/Museums, etc.) shall achieve perpetual tenability

Table 9.5 – Determining RSET

Time for	Method
Detection	Refer to Section 4.1.
Notification time	Refer to Section 4.2.
Pre-movement	Refer to Section 4.3.
Movement	Refer to Section 4.4.

Table 9.6 – Sensitivity Analysis (ASET/RSET ≥ 1.2)

Sensitivity study	Affects	Remarks
Natural Ventilation : 1 MW fire	ASET	(i) Test buoyancy of smoke. (ii) Walls to be non-adiabatic
Natural ventilation: Design fire size is based on base case design fire.	ASET	1 side of exhaust vents fail. (Side with most vents)
Mechanical ventilation: Design fire size is based on based case design fire.	ASET	(i) Fan failure (See Section 2.2) or increase fire size by 20% (ii) Walls to be non-adiabatic
Increase in fire growth rate	ASET	E.g. From fast to ultrafast
Delay in detection	RSET	Failure of main means of detection
1 exit blocked (or more)	RSET	The number of exits blocked depends on closeness of the exits
Extended pre-movement time	RSET	Value to be doubled



9.1.2

EXAMPLE 2

Extended 2-way travel distance or Inadequate egress capacity

Extended 2-way
travel distance or
Inadequate
egress capacity

(a) Root objectives

The root objectives are :

- (i) R2.1 - Occupants must be able to escape to a safe place, directly or through a protected exit, before untenable conditions are reached during a fire emergency.
- (ii) R2.2 - Fire-fighters must be provided with adequate means of access for fire fighting and rescue operations within the building.
- (iii) R7.1 - Maintain tenable conditions for evacuation of occupants and protect them from injury arising from the effects of fire.
- (iv) R7.2 - Provide smoke management in the building for firefighting operations.

(b) Sub objectives

The sub objectives are :

- (i) S2.9 - Provisions for adequate ventilation for means of escape.
- (ii) S2.13 - Provisions for adequate time for occupant escape from building.
- (iii) S2.14 - Provisions for safe movement of people within the means of escape.
- (iv) S7.1 - Provisions for ventilation for life safety purposes such that in the event of a fire, evacuation routes are maintained :-



(1) below thermal threshold for human tenability;
and

(2) at visibility levels adequate for occupant
evacuation; and

(3) below toxicity threshold for human tenability

for the period of time required for escape.

- (v) S7.2 - Provisions for ventilation to maintain safe conditions in the means of escape for evacuees for the period of time required for escape.

Table 9.7 – Design fires (sprinkler protected)

Usage	Location	Size	Remarks
Factory / warehouse / large space or compartment	Centre of space or credible location	Proposed by FSE	Need to factor in vent/exhaust location. As base case analysis, wind direction to be most onerous for smoke dispersal within the premises.
	In-rack	Proposed by FSE	To include wind effects as base case analysis
	Loading / Unloading bay	10 MW truck fire (as prescribed by the fire code) or higher (if deemed necessary by FSE)	If path of extended travel distance is through the loading / unloading bay. To include wind effects as base case analysis
Atrium	Centre	Proposed by FSE	Axis-Symmetric plume



	Shop/unit	5MW (as prescribed by the fire code) or other appropriate fire size as proposed by FSE	Axis-Symmetric plume or spill plume
--	-----------	--	-------------------------------------

Table 9.8 – Fire size proposed by FSE based on DETACT T2, FPETool or Firecalc

Usage	Growth rate	Remarks
Factory/warehouse	Ultrafast	2 nd ring sprinkler activation
Factory in-(rack sprinklers)	Ultrafast	Next higher level of sprinkler activation
Usage other than industrial.	Fast/Ultrafast	2 nd ring sprinkler activation

Table 9.9 – Tenability Limits

	Tenability Criteria
Smoke layer 2.5m above relevant FFL	Visibility > 10m Temperature < 200°C
Smoke layer drops below 2.5m above relevant FFL	Temperature < 60°C

Table 9.10 – Acceptance Criteria

Scenario	
Base case	≥ 2 Safety Factor (ASET/RSET)
Sensitivity analysis	≥ 1.2 Safety Factor (ASET/RSET)
	Perpetual tenability (Base cases) shall be required for health care occupancies and projects as deemed appropriate by SCDF (e.g. of national and cultural importance such as airport, Large-scale indoor gardens, National Art Galleries/Museums, etc.)

Table 9.11 – Determining RSET

Time for	Method
Detection	Refer to Section 4.1.
Notification time	Refer to Section 4.2
Pre-movement	Refer to Section 4.3.
Movement	Refer to Section 4.4.



Table 9.12 – Sensitivity Analysis (ASET/RSET \geq 1.2)

Sensitivity study	Affects	Remarks
Natural Ventilation : 1 MW fire	ASET	(i) Test buoyancy of smoke. (ii) Walls to be inert
Natural ventilation: Design fire size is based on base case design fire.	ASET	1 side of exhaust vents fail. (Side with most vents)
Mechanical ventilation : Design fire size is based on based case design fire.	ASET	(i) Fan failure (See Section 2.2) or increase fire size by 20% (ii) Walls to be non-adiabatic
Increase in fire growth rate	ASET	E.g. From fast to ultrafast
Delay in detection	RSET	Failure of main means of detection
1 exit blocked (or more)	RSET	The number of exits blocked depends on closeness of the exits
Extended pre-movement time	RSET	Value to be doubled

9.1.3 Example 3

Internal discharge of exit staircase not compliant with clause 2.3.3 (c) of the Fire Code.

(a) Root objectives

The root objectives are :

- (i) R2.1 - Occupants must be able to escape to a safe place, directly or through a protected exit, before untenable conditions are reached during a fire emergency.
- (ii) R2.2 - Fire-fighters must be provided with adequate means of access for fire fighting and rescue operations within the building.
- (iii) R7.1 - Maintain tenable conditions for evacuation of occupants and protect them from injury arising

Internal discharge of exit staircase not compliant with clause 2.3.3 (c) of the Fire Code



from the effects of fire.

- (iv) R7.2 - Provide smoke management in the building for firefighting operations.

(b) Sub objectives

The sub objectives are :

- (i) S2.9 - Provisions for adequate ventilation for means of escape.
- (ii) S2.13 - Provisions for adequate time for occupant escape from building.
- (iii) S2.14 - Provisions for safe movement of people within the means of escape.
- (iv) S7.1 - Provisions for ventilation for life safety purposes such that in the event of a fire, evacuation routes are maintained :-

- (1) below thermal threshold for human tenability; and
- (2) at visibility levels adequate for occupant evacuation; and
- (3) below toxicity threshold for human tenability

for the period of time required for escape.

- (v) S7.2 - Provisions for ventilation to maintain safe conditions in the means of escape for evacuees for the period of time required for escape.



Table 9.13 – Design fires (sprinkler protected)

Usage	Location	Size	Remarks
Atrium	Centre	Proposed by FSE	Axis-Symmetric plume
	Shop/unit	5MW (as prescribed by the fire code) or other appropriate fire size as proposed by FSE	Spill plume. FSE advised to seek consultation
Shopping Centre / Office / Mixed Use	Unit/s affecting egress path	5MW (as prescribed by the fire code) or other appropriate fire size as proposed by FSE	To determine impact of radiation on escaping occupants
All others	Area with deviation	Proposed by FSE	

Table 9.14 – Fire size proposed by FSE based on DETACT T2, FPETool or Firecalc

Usage	Growth rate	Remarks
All others	Fast/Ultrafast	2 nd ring sprinkler activation

Table 9.15 – Tenability Limits

	Tenability Criteria
Radiation at egress path to external	Radiation < 2.5 kW/m ²
Smoke layer 2.5m above relevant FFL	Visibility > 10m Temperature < 200°C
Smoke layer drops below 2.5m above relevant FFL	Temperature < 60°C

Table 9.16 – Acceptance Criteria

Scenario	
Base case	≥ 2 Safety Factor (ASET/RSET) Radiation along evacuation route < 2.5 kW/m ²
Sensitivity analysis	≥ 1.2 Safety Factor (ASET/RSET)
	Perpetual tenability (Base cases) shall be required for health care occupancies and projects as deemed appropriate by SCDF (e.g. of national and cultural importance such as airport, Large-scale indoor gardens, National Art Galleries/Museums, etc.)

Table 9.17 – Determining RSET

Time for	Method
Detection	Refer to Section 4.1.
Notification time	Refer to Section 4.2.
Pre-movement	Refer to Section 4.3.
Movement	Refer to Section 4.4.

Table 9.18 – Sensitivity Analysis (ASET/RSET \geq 1.2)

Sensitivity study	Affects	Remarks
Natural Ventilation : 1 MW fire	ASET	(i) Test buoyancy of smoke (ii) Walls to be non-adiabatic
Natural ventilation: Design fire size is based on base case design fire.	ASET	1 side of exhaust vents fail.(Side with most vents)
Mechanical ventilation : Design fire size is based on based case design fire.	ASET	(i) Fan failure (See Section 2.2) or increase fire size by 20% (ii) Walls to be non-adiabatic
Increase in fire growth rate	ASET	E.g. From fast to ultrafast
Delay in detection	RSET	Failure of main means of detection
1 exit blocked (or more)	RSET	The number of exits blocked depends on closeness of the exits
Extended pre-movement time	RSET	Value to be doubled

9.1.4 **Example 4**

Insufficient set back distance

Insufficient set back distance from notional boundary.

(a) Root objectives

The root objectives are :

- (i) R3.1 - Prevent the untimely collapse of buildings due to the effects of fire that would affect the safe egress of the building occupants.
- (ii) R3.2 - Prevent spread of fire to adjacent properties due to the effects of a fire in the building.

(b) Sub objectives

The sub objectives are :

- (i) S3.7 - Provisions for prevention of spread of fire to adjacent buildings.

Table 9.19 – Design fires (sprinkler protected)

Usage	Location	Size	Remarks
N.A.	Relevant compartment	Proposed by FSE. Could be based on 84 kW/m ² or 168 kW/m ² depending on usage	May also be based on specific fuel load if it is known. Subject to SCDF's approval

Table 9.20 – Acceptance Criteria

Scenario	
Base case	(i) Radiation on neighbouring building < 12.6 kW/m ² and
	(ii) The radiant heat shall not be more than 12.6kW/m ² at twice the distance of the notional boundary of the building on fire,



Table 9.21 – Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity study	Affects	Remarks
Increase in fire growth rate		(i) Increase fire size by 50%.

9.1.5 **Example 5**

Omission or Reduction of Fire rating of element of structure. Structural engineer is to carry out structural analysis with thermal input from FSE.

Omission or Reduction of Fire rating of element of structure

(a) Root objectives

The root objectives are :

- (i) R3.1 - Prevent the untimely collapse of buildings due to the effects of fire that would affect the safe egress of the building occupants.
- (ii) R3.3 - The building shall remain structurally stable to allow adequate time for fire-fighters to conduct their fire-fighting and rescue operations.

(b) Sub objectives

The sub objectives are :

- (i) S3.1 - Provision of elements of structure with appropriate fire resistance with respect to :-
 - (1) the fire severity; and
 - (2) fire fighting and rescue operations; and
 - (3) the occupant evacuation time; and
 - (4) enclosure characteristics and configurations; and
 - (5) the height of building; and
 - (6) occupancy characteristics; and
 - (7) different fire risk levels.
- (ii) S3.2 - The construction and use of building materials should be of the type and method appropriate to the intended performance.



- (iii) S3.9 - Provisions for reasonable measures to prevent premature structural collapse of the building due to fire.

Table 9.22 – Design fires (sprinkler protected)

Usage	Location	Size	Remarks
Area of concern	Close to structural member in question	Proposed by FSE	Based on post flashover fires. Refer to Clause 3.2(b) on computation of post flashover fires.

Table 9.23 – Acceptance Criteria

Scenario	
Base case	(i) Load-Capacity ratio ≤ 1 . Structural engineer is to carry out structural analysis with thermal input from FSE. Annex I describes the steps to determine the load capacity ratio.
	(ii) Smoke temperature less than limiting steel temperature.
Sensitivity	Structure shall not fail due to failure of two or more key structural member(s) and increase fire size by 50%

9.1.6 **Example 6**

Ductless Jet Fans (Non-compliance(s) to FSR3:2008)

- (a) Root objectives

The root objectives are :

- (i) R7.2 - Provide smoke management in the building for firefighting operations.
- (b) Sub objectives
- N.A.

Ductless Jet Fans (Non-compliance(s) to FSR3:2008).

Table 9.24 – Design fires (sprinkler protected)

Usage	Location	Size	Remarks
Vehicle/Car park	Least favourable location i.e. longest smoke path that is away from openings.	10 MW truck fire (as prescribed by the fire code) or higher (if deemed necessary by FSE)	Where trucks have access to the car park
		4MW car fire/forklift fire (as prescribed by the fire code) or higher (if deemed necessary by FSE)	

Table 9.25 – Acceptance Criteria


Scenario	
Base case	Not more than 1,000 m ² of the car park can be smoke-logged for 20 minutes
	Smoke temperature shall not exceed 250°C at a height of 1.7m
	Visibility shall not be less than 5m at a height of 1.7m
	All other areas outside the smoke-logged area shall be kept substantially free from smoke i.e. smoke temperature not more than 60°C and visibility of at least 25m
	There shall be at least one viable approach route for fire fighters
Sensitivity Analysis	Failure of a group of jet fans nearest to the fire

REFERENCES

1. Singapore Civil Defence Force, Code of Practice for Fire Precautions in Buildings 2013
2. Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment New Zealand, C/VM2 Verification Method: Framework for Fire Safety Design, April 2012
3. BENSILUM, M and PURSER D.A. (2002) GridFlow: an object-oriented building evacuation model combining pre-travel activity and movement behaviours for performance-based design. Fire Safety Science. Proceedings of the seventh International Symposium. EVANS, D.D. (ed.). International Association for Fire Safety Science. 2003. pp 941-952
4. BRYAN, J.L. An Examination and Analysis of the Human Behavior in the MGM Grand Hotel Fire, revised report, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy MA, 1983
5. PD 7974-6:2004 - The application of fire safety engineering principles to fire safety design of buildings. Human factors. Life safety strategies. Occupant evacuation, behaviour and condition (Sub-system 6). British Standards Institution (BSI)
6. PROULX, G. KAUFMAN A. and PINEAU, J. Evacuation Time and Movement in Office Buildings, Internal Report No. 711, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa ON, March 1996
7. PROULX, G. and FAHY, R.F. The time delay to start evacuation: review of five case studies. proceedings of the fifth international symposium on fire safety science. HASEMI, Y. (ed.) International Association for Fire Safety Science, 1997, pp. 783-794
8. PROULX, G., LATOUR, J.C., MCLAURIN, J.W., PINEAU, J., HOFFMAN, L.E. and LAROCHE, C. Housing Evacuation of Mixed Abilities Occupants in Highrise Buildings, Internal Report No. 706, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa ON, August 1995
9. PROULX, G LATOUR J. and MACLAURIN, J. Housing Evacuation of Mixed Abilities Occupants, Internal Report No. 661, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa ON, July 1994
10. PROULX, G. and SIME, J. To prevent panic in an underground emergency, why not tell people the truth?. In: COX, G., LANGFORD, B. (Eds), Fire Safety Science – Proceedings of the Third International Symposium. Elsevier Applied Science, New York, pp. 843-852
11. R.L.Alpert, Fire Tech., 8, 181 (1972)

12. RICHARD D. PEACOCK, PAUL A. RENEKE, GLENN P. FORNEY, CFAST – Consolidated Model of Fire Growth and Smoke Transport (Version 6) User's Guide, NIST Special Publication 1041r1, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, December 2012
13. RICHARD D. PEACOCK, PAUL A. RENEKE, Verification and Validation of Selected Fire models for Nuclear Power Plant Applications, Volume 5: Consolidated Fire and Smoke Transport Model (CFAST), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (RES), Rockville, MD. 2007, and Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Palo alto, CA, NUREG-1824 and EPRI 1011999, May 2007
14. The Society of Fire Protection Engineers, The SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, 3rd Ed, National Fire Protection Association Inc., 2002, Chap7, Chap 8
15. WADE C.A. and Robbins A.P., Smoke Filling In Large Spaces Using Branzfire, BRANZ Study Report No. 195, BRANZ Ltd, Judgefords, New Zealand, 2008
16. WADE C.A., A User Guide's Guide to BRANZFIRE 2004, Building Research Association of New Zealand, Judgeford, Porirua City, New Zealand, 2004
17. WADE C.A., BRANZFIRE Technical Reference Guide 2004, BRANZ Study Report No. 92 (revised), Building Research Association of New Zealand, Judgeford, Porirua City, New Zealand, 2004
18. WALTER W.JONES, Richard D. Peacock, Paul A. Reneke, Glenn P. Forney, Verification and Validation of CFAST, A model of Fire Growth and Smoke Spread, NISTIR 7080, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, February 2004

Annex A – Methods of determining fire size (For sprinkler controlled fire)



Annex B – Table of Fire Hazards

Usage	Potential Fuel Load	Potential Ignition Sources	Hazards presented	Potential mitigation measures
Areas of special/high hazard	Fuel or other or other flammable or combustible liquids storage rooms High hazard plant rooms High voltage switch rooms Heating appliances Kitchen appliances	Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances, wiring or switch boards From equipment, e.g. motors overheating Explosive or highly flammable/ignitable contents or activities	Fire hazards posed to occupants considered high Potential extreme event, such as explosion or uncontrolled fire to impact areas remote from fire	Clause 3.2.5 and Table 6.4A of the Fire Code
Back of house areas / service areas	Electrical and mechanical plant rooms Small ancillary usage (e.g. offices) Staff-only areas like changing rooms, etc	Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances, wiring or switch boards From equipment, e.g. motors overheating Smoking, e.g. ignition from cigarettes or matches	Flexibility in type of goods/commodities Illegal storage may impact means of escape and/or fire compartmentation	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection Smoke purging / engineered smoke control
Shop (department stores, shopping centres, supermarkets, business and trades)	Commodities Display goods Storage	Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances or wiring Smoking, e.g. ignition from cigarettes or matches Overheating of electrical equipment Arson	Flexibility in type of goods/commodities Depending on type of shop and stacking arrangement, fire hazard can range from low to high (e.g. high rack storage). May connect multiple floors and/or have high populations (shopping centres)	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection Engineered smoke control

Usage	Potential Fuel Load	Potential Ignition Sources	Hazards presented	Potential mitigation measures
F&B (restaurants, food courts, coffee shops, hawker centres, fast food outlets)	Furniture and furnishings Rubbish bins Kitchen appliances Storage	Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances or wiring Overheating of electrical equipment, e.g. display lightings 'Open flame' cooking appliances in kitchens Smoking, e.g. ignition from cigarettes or matches Arson	Flexibility in type of furniture and layout Potential extreme event, such as explosion (gas cylinder/tanks/supply) to impact areas remote from fire May have high populations; e.g. foodcourts	Fire compartmentation Kitchen suppression systems Automatic sprinkler protection Engineered smoke control
Car parks	Cars, motorcycles, vans Larger vehicles (load/unloading area)	Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances or wiring Overheating of electrical equipment Arson	May include high fuel load larger vehicles	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection Smoke purging / Jet fan ductless system
Offices	Furniture Electronic equipment Paper, books	Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances or wiring Overheating of electrical equipment	Flexibility in type of furniture and layout	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection
Places of assembly (auditorium, theatres, performing arts)	Theatrical/stage scenery, hangings and other props (fly tower) Electrical equipment and lighting Fixed seating Performers' instruments/props	Performances using pyrotechnics, flame effects or similar Electrical, e.g. short-circuit of electrical appliances or wiring Overheating of electrical equipment Smoking, e.g. ignition from cigarettes or matches Arson	Scenery can include props made from highly combustible materials; e.g. plastics Seats to be tested to BS 5852 as specified clause 2.8.3 (g) of the Code of Practice for Fire Precautions in Buildings. High populations and densities	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection Smoke venting or engineered smoke control

Usage	Potential Fuel Load	Potential Ignition Sources	Hazards presented	Potential mitigation measures
Exhibition	Exhibits may include temporary booths/setup and displays (electronics, vehicles, roadshows, sales, etc)	Overheating of electrical equipment Smoking, e.g. ignition from cigarettes or matches Arson	Flexibility in type of goods/commodities Flexibility in type of furniture and layout	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection Engineered smoke control
Recreational, amusement, night entertainment	Furniture Theatrical/stage scenery, hangings and other props	Performances using pyrotechnics, flame effects or similar Overheating of electrical equipment Smoking, e.g. ignition from cigarettes or matches Arson	Scenery can include props made from highly combustible materials; e.g. plastics High populations and densities	Fire compartmentation Automatic sprinkler protection Engineered smoke control

Annex C – Enclosure Fire Models

(a) General

There are generally two classes of computer models for analysing enclosure fire developments; Stochastic or Probabilistic models and Deterministic models.

- (i) Stochastic model generally treat fire growth as a series of sequential events or states using established mathematical rules for the transition from one event to another. Probabilities are assigned to each transfer points based on analysis from experimental data, historical fire incident data or computer model results. The context of this model is not covered under this guide. Consultation with SCDF is required for the use of Stochastic model.
- (ii) Deterministic models use interrelated mathematical expressions based on physics and chemistry to describe a compartment fire. The most common type of Deterministic model is the zone model, which solves the conservation equations in control volume(s). The other type of Deterministic model which gains popularity is the field model, which solves the fundamental equations of mass, momentum and energy in control volumes in subdivided grids.

(b) Zone Models

- (i) Zone models are designed to predict the conditions resulting from a fire in an enclosure. These models solve the equations based on the zone assumptions within an enclosure. It provides a faster and a more accurate estimate of fire conditions than manual calculations methods. Most of the zone models can be run on personal computers. It is relatively simplicity, which permits inclusion of more phenomena in a given zone model without becoming overwhelmed by complexity. This also means that it may run far more rapidly and inexpensive.
- (ii) It provides estimates of the fire conditions for each of the layers as a function of time. No zone model is the best for all applications. While most of the zone model are based on the similar fundamental principles, there is a significant variation features among the different zone models. The decision to use a model is dependent upon the understanding of the assumptions and its limitations for the particular model. Most importantly, the selected model must be validated with results comparing experiment data.
- (iii) Application and limitations:
 - (1) Simple geometry that can be simplified into a simple box, best applies to an enclosure which dimensions (width and length) are similar.
 - (2) Large compartment are to be divided into multiple virtual compartments

- (3) Radiation effects is not a primary consideration factor in the design
 - (4) Predicting sprinkler activation time and estimating ventilation rates
 - (5) Not well suited for comprehensive analyses involving the time-dependant interactions of multiple physical and chemical processes in developing fires
 - (6) Primarily one-dimensional, and divides the spaces of interest into a few zones
 - (7) Analyses of zone models assume two layers of uniform temperature and conditions; a hot upper zone and a cooler lower layer. Interaction of smoke with localised smoke logged conditions is not addressed, i.e. compartment volumes are strongly stratified
 - (8) Different zone models may yield quite a different results
 - (9) Not accurate in modelling long corridors, very large compartments or compartment involve radiation feedback of energy
 - (10) Smoke movement cannot be predicted
 - (11) Cannot generate pictorial results that describes a more realistic fire conditions
- (iv) Zone models are intended to be used to review the smoke transport with a wide variety of fire scenarios. Some of the limits to the inputs in the softwares are reproduced from the various references and listed below. The list of softwares shown is not exhaustive with a few commonly used software for zone model presented. It is important to understand the physics and assumptions on which the software is based in order to evaluate and interpret the results.

Table C-1 – Limitations of CFAST and BRANZFIRE

S/N	Description	CFAST	BRANZFIRE
1	Maximum simulation time	86,400s ^[12]	
2	Maximum number of compartments	30 ^[12]	10 ^[16]
3	Maximum number of fires which can be included in a single test case (including the main fire)	31 ^[12]	
4	Maximum number of fire definitions which can be included in a single fire database file	30 ^[12]	
5	Maximum number of data points for a single main or secondary object fire	21 ^[12]	
6	Maximum number of material property definitions which can be included in a single thermal database	125 ^[12]	
7	Maximum number of slabs in a single surface material in the thermal database file	3 ^[12]	
8	Total number of ducts in all mechanical ventilation systems which can be included in a single test case	60 ^[12]	
9	Maximum total number of connections between compartments and mechanical ventilation systems which can be included in a single test case	62 ^[12]	
10	Maximum number of independent mechanical ventilation systems which can be included in a single test case	15 ^[12]	
11	Maximum number of targets which can be included in a single test case	90 ^[12]	
12	Maximum number of data points in a history or spreadsheet	900 ^[12]	
13	Maximum single compartment size		1,200m ² ^[15]
14	Maximum total virtual compartments size		5,000m ² ^[15]
15	Maximum compartment height	Ceiling Jet 0.58m to 22m ^[18]	12m ^[15]
16	Maximum compartment volume		60,000m ³ ^[15]
17	Maximum length of compartment		25m ^[4]
18	Maximum fire size	Ceiling Jet only 0.62MW to 33MW	

S/N	Description	CFAST	BRANZFIRE
19	Minimum fire size within room of fire origin (This limit is not to be used as a limit to a specific design fire)	0.1 kW/m ³ ^[13]	
20	Maximum fire size within room of fire origin (This limit is not to be used as a limit to a specific design fire)	1000 kW/m ³ ^[13]	
21	Maximum ratio of area of vents connecting one compartment to another to the volume of the compartment	< 2m ⁻¹ ^[13]	
22	Corridor Scenario – aspect ratios as follows: * (L/W) _{max} * (L/H) _{max} * (W/H) _{min}	L/W > 5 ^[13] L/H > 6 ^[13] W/H < 0.2 ^[13]	
23	Special consideration for Corridor with use of corridor flow and non-corridor flow algorithm for comparison - aspect ratios as follows: * (L/W) _{max} * (L/H) _{max} * (W/H) _{min}	3 < L/W < 5 ^[13] 3 < L/H < 6 ^[123] 0.2 < W/H < 0.4 ^[13]	
24	Single Zone compartment scenario – aspect ratios as follows: * (L/W) _{max} * (L/H) _{max} * (W/H) _{min}	L/W < 3 ^[13] L/H < 3 ^[13] W/H > 0.4 ^[13]	

*List not exhaustive

(v) List of Approved Zone Models:

- CFAST
- BRANZFIRE
- To seek consensus from SCDF for the use of other zone models

(c) Field Models

- (i) The field model solves fundamental Navier-Stokes equations using numerical method, commonly known as computational fluid dynamics (CFD). It allows study of extremely complicated problems even with irregular and complex geometry. The primary advantage of a field model is that it can provide detailed information on the fluid motions in three-dimensions as compared with zone model (except one-dimensionally). In general, a more powerful computer than desktop personal computer will be required to run CFD.

- (ii) It computes the mass, momentum and energy conditions of the fire conditions for each of the cell within the grid or mesh as a function of time. Like the zone model, no field model is the best for all applications. Most of the field models are based on the similar fundamental principles but there is a significant variation features among the different field models. Understanding of the assumptions and its limitations for the particular model is required in order to select the appropriate field model to be used. Again, most importantly, the selected model must be validated with results comparing experiment data.
- (iii) Application and limitations:
- (1) No constraint in using field model for any geometry
 - (2) Detail analyses required
 - (3) Experience users with knowledge of fluid dynamics codes
 - (4) Powerful computer required to run CFD
 - (5) Large data storage for results
 - (6) Long computing time
 - (7) Results are grid dependant; i.e. Solution is less ideal for coarser and very fine grids or mesh
- (iv) List of Approved Field Models:
- Fire Dynamic Simulator (FDS)
 - FLUENT
 - Phoenics
 - To seek consensus from SCDF for the use of other field models

Annex D – Notification time

(a) The following describes typical design notification times, depending on the types of alarm systems present and the evacuation strategies being adopted:

(i) From C/VM2 document Cl 3.2.2

- $t_n = 30$ s for premises adopting standard evacuation strategies;
- For non-standard evacuation strategies (i.e 2-stage, management investigating, etc), t_n will have to take into account any extended timeframe.

(ii) From PD7974 Part 6:2004 Annex A

- If the building is fitted with automatic fire detection systems with immediate fire alarm, then $t_n = 0$ s;
- If the building is fitted with automatic fire detection system involving a pre-alarm to building management, and thereafter either (1) a manually activated warning sounded throughout affected area, or (2) if pre-alarm is not cancelled after a fixed delay, then a general alarm will be sounded. In such cases, the notification time can be taken as:
- $t_n = 2$ min to 5 min + time taken for voice alarm to be spoken twice.
- If the building is fitted with only local automatic alarm near the fire location, or is not fitted with any automatic alarm at all, occupants will be notified of fire only via manually activated general warning system. In such cases, notification time may be long: $t_n =$ long and unpredictable.

(iii) From SS CP10 : 2005

- Cl 1.4.3.3 Fire extinguishing alarm initiating devices.
- Flow switches, pressure switches and the like associated with fixed fire extinguishing systems that are used to initiate an alarm, shall be individually connected under separate alarm zones on the fire alarm panel. Flow switches used shall incorporate time-delay devices to avoid false alarm due to water surges.
- Initiation of the alarm signal shall occur within 90s of water flow at the alarm-initiating device when flow occurs that is equal to or greater than that from a single sprinkler of the smallest orifice size installed in the system.
- Cl 2.5.11 Alarm verification feature (AVF).

- To reduce the effects of transient environmental conditions, which may cause various types of detectors to be activated, an automatic fire alarm system may be provided with an alarm verification feature, subject to the approval of the relevant authority.
- Such an alarm verification feature, if provided, shall operate in the following manner. Upon activation of a detector in any zone, the fire alarm system shall go into an alarm retard state for a period not exceeding 20s at the control unit. After the expiry of this period, the fire alarm system shall go into an alarm confirmation state for a period not less than 120s and not exceeding 300s. Only when the same detector or another detector within the same zone or panel is activated during this alarm confirmation period, shall the fire alarm system go into full operation.
- Cl 2.7.2 Detectors. The delay between activation of a heat detector and activation of the general alarm shall not exceed 10s.

Annex E – Warning systems

- (a) Alerting people with warning systems (Source: C/VM2)

Where only manual systems are installed, occupants are assumed to be aware of the fire when the ceiling jet has traversed the entire length of the space from a fire at the opposite end of the space. No additional pre-movement time need be included. The time required for the ceiling jet to completely traverse the ceiling can either be determined using CFD modelling or by the following relationship if zone modelling is used.

- (i) For storage height $\leq 5.0\text{m}$ (ultrafast fire growth):

$$t_d = 10 + 2.4L \text{ when } L \leq 1.4w, \text{ and}$$

$$t_d = 10 + w + 1.7L \text{ when } 1.4w < L \leq 4w,$$

and

For storage height $> 5.0\text{m}$ (ultrafast fire growth):

$$t_d = 25 + 1.7L \text{ when } L \leq 1.4w, \text{ and}$$

$$t_d = 25 + w + L \text{ when } 1.4w < L \leq 4w,$$

where:

w = width of space in meters (shortest dimension)

L = length of space in meters (longest dimension)

Annex F – Pre-movement time

- (a) In essence, pre-movement time depends primarily upon the design behavioural scenario, the fire safety management level and building complexity. The New Zealand document, i.e. C/VM2 attempts to prescribe the pre-movement times for various building usage groups, occupant alertness, familiarity and proximity to fire origin. However, these pre-movement times obtained from C/VM2 do not take into account the behavioural modifiers (e.g. detection and alarm quality, level of fire safety management and building complexity) in determining pre-movement times.
- (b) The level of fire safety management can be significant in reducing pre-movement times. Pre-movement times and their corresponding distributions have been found to be very short when fire safety management is of a high standard and when staff is well-trained [Purser, Bensilum & Purser 2002].

Table F-1 Comparison of pre-movement time²

Description of building use	Pre-movement activity time(s)
Building where the occupants are considered awake, alert and familiar with the building (eg, office, warehouse not open to the public)	
Enclosure of origin	30
Remote from the enclosure of origin	60
Building where the occupants are considered awake, alert and unfamiliar with the building (eg, retail shops, exhibition spaces, restaurants)	
Enclosure of origin (standard alarm signal)	60
Remote from the enclosure of the origin (standard alarm signal)	120
Enclosure of origin (voice alarm signal)	30
Remote from the enclosure of origin (voice alarm signal)	60
Building where the occupants are considered sleeping and familiar with the building (eg, apartments)	
Enclosure of origin (standard alarm signal)	60
Remote from the enclosure of origin (standard alarm signal)	300
Building where the occupants are considered sleeping and unfamiliar with the building (eg, hotels and motels)	
Enclosure of origin	60
Remote from the enclosure of origin (standard alarm signal)	600
Remote from the enclosure of origin (voice alarm signal)	300
Building where the occupants are considered awake and under the care of trained staff (eg, day care, dental office, clinic)	
Enclosure of origin (independent of alarm signal)	60
Remote from the enclosure of origin (independent of alarm signal)	120
Building where the occupants are considered sleeping and under the care of trained staff (eg, hospitals and rest homes)	
Enclosure of origin (assume staff will respond to the room of origin first)	60 s for staff to respond to alarm then 120 s (per patient per 2 staff) ¹
Remote from the enclosure of origin (independent of alarm signal)	1800
Remote from the enclosure of origin (independent of alarm signal) where occupants are unable to be move due to the procedure or other factor	1800 or as per specific requirements, whichever is the greater
Spaces within buildings which have only focused activities (eg, cinemas, theatres and stadiums)	
Space origin (occupants assumed to start evacuation travel immediately after detection and notification time or when fire in their space reaches 500 kW, which occurs first)	0
NOTE:	
1. This allows 120 s to move each patient from their room to the next adjacent firecell. This includes time for staff to prepare the patient and transport them to the adjacent firecell, and then to return to evacuate another patient. The commentary document for this Verification Method gives details of staff to patient ratios.	

Annex G – Circular on Certification by QP for A/A Plans involving PB Fire Safety Designs.

SINGAPORE CIVIL DEFENCE FORCE

Our Ref. : CD/FSSD/12/02/03/01
Your Ref :
Date : 18 Oct 2013



HQ Singapore Civil Defence Force
91 Ubi Avenue 4
Singapore 408827
Tel : 68481457
Fax : 68481489

Registrar, Board of Architects (BOA)
Registrar, Professional Engineers Board (PEB)
President, Singapore Institute of Architects (SIA)
President, Institution of Engineers, Singapore (IES)
President, Association of Consulting Engineers, Singapore (ACES)

Dear Sir/Mdm

CERTIFICATION BY QUALIFIED PERSON FOR A/A PLANS INVOLVING PERFORMANCE-BASED FIRE SAFETY DESIGNS

SCDF issued a circular (ref: CD/FSSD/12/02/03/01) on 5 Jun 2012 to inform the building industry that qualified persons (QPs) who carry out any addition & alteration (A/A) works to an existing Performance-Based (PB) building, are required to obtain a letter of no objection from a fire safety engineer (FSE), if the A/A works do not affect the PB design. If the works affect the PB design, a FSE has to be engaged for submission of PB plans to SCDF.

2. QPs have suggested to allow them to issue the letter of no objection instead of FSEs, especially for cases where the A/A works clearly do not affect the Performance-Based (PB) design of the building.

3. SCDF has no objection to the suggestion. However, QPs are expected to do their due diligence in referring to the relevant PB documents before issuing the letter of undertaking. Please note that there could be several PB projects carried out for the PB building in question and as such, the QP would have to make reference to all the different sets of PB documents. QPs who undertake the certification, will take full responsibility if the A/A works are subsequently found to have affected the original PB designs. If the QP is doubtful whether the proposed A/A works affect the PB designs, he/she is strongly advised to consult the FSE.



4. The Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Manual is one of the PB documents prepared by the FSEs for submission to SCDF. One of the purposes of the manual is to serve as a guide for building operators on future renovations and changes made to the building. However, this aspect on demarcation is usually not included in the manual submitted by the FSEs. SCDF would therefore like to remind FSEs to clearly demarcate the areas where future A/A works can be carried out without affecting the PB design of the building so as to facilitate such works.

5. These requirements shall take immediate effect. Please convey the contents of this circular to members of your Institution/Association/Board. The circular is available in CORENET-e-Info: <http://www.corenet.gov.sg/einfo>.

6. For any inquiry or clarification, please contact Maj Chong Kim Yuan at DID: 6848 1476 or email address: Chong_Kim_Yuan@scdf.gov.sg.

Yours faithfully,

(transmitted via e-mail)

MAJ Lee Kok Chuen
Secretary, FSSD Standing Committee
for Commissioner
Singapore Civil Defence Force

cc

CEO, BCA
CEO, URA
CEO, HDB
CEO, JTC
CE, LTA
CE, SPRING Singapore
President, REDAS
President, IFE
President, SISV
President, FSMAS
All members of FSSD Standing Committee

Annex H –First Schedule of the Fire Safety (Registered Inspector) Regulations

FIRST SCHEDULE SCOPE OF FIRE SAFETY WORKS TO BE INSPECTED BY APPROPRIATE REGISTERED INSPECTORS

A registered inspector who —

(a) is an architect registered under the Architects Act [Cap. 12] or a professional engineer in the civil or structural engineering discipline registered under the Professional Engineers Act [Cap. 253] shall inspect and, unless otherwise provided, test the fire safety works listed in Part I;

(b) is a professional engineer in the mechanical or electrical engineering discipline registered under the Professional Engineers Act [Cap. 253] shall inspect and test the fire safety works listed in Part II; and

(c) possesses a degree in Fire Safety Engineering which is acceptable to the Commissioner shall inspect and, unless otherwise provided, test the fire safety works listed in both Parts I and II.

PART I

(1) Fire Protection Systems:

- (a) Fire extinguishers
- (b) Dry risers (testing not required).
- (c) Manual fire alarm callpoints, sounding devices and indicating panel (testing not required).
- (d) Exit and directional signs.
- (e) Emergency lighting (self-contained light fittings only).
- (f) Hosereels (direct Public Utilities Board supply).

(2) Structural Fire Precautions:

- (a) Compartment walls and floors.
- (b) Elements of structure.
- (c) External walls.
- (d) Separating walls.
- (e) Protected shafts.
- (f) Protection of openings (excluding fire dampers).
- (g) Exit staircase.
- (h) Concealed spaces.
- (i) Fire stopping.
- (j) Restriction of flame spread.
- (k) Roofs.

(3) Means of Escape.

(4) Site planning and external fire fighting provisions:

(a) Fire fighting vehicles and equipment access (access opening and hardstanding/access way).

(b) Rising mains access.

(c) Hydrants and private hydrants (testing not required).

(d) Fire Command Centre.

(5) Any other endorsements made on the approved building plans.

PART II

(1) Fire Protection Systems:

(a) Sprinkler systems.

(b) Automatic fire alarm systems, including testing of manual alarm systems.

(c) Lift systems.

(d) Hosereels (pump supply).

(e) Wet risers.

(f) Dry risers and internal hydrants (testing only).

(2) Mechanical ventilation/air-conditioning systems, including dampers and fire resisting construction of ductworks.

(3) Smoke control systems (both mechanical and venting systems).

(4) Pressurisation systems.

(5) Emergency lighting.

(6) Voice communication systems and Fire Command Centre facilities.

(7) Standby generator systems.

(8) Integrated fire fighting and protection systems testing under both fire alarm activation and power failure condition.

(9) Any other endorsements made on mechanical ventilation and fire protection plans.

Annex I – Determining Load/Capacity Ratio for Structural Steel

Step 1 - Calculating Temperature of structural member in fire condition using CFD modeling and hand calculations (higher temperature to be adopted)

Step 2 - Calculating the Structural Capacity under Elevated Temperatures in accordance with P291, Structural Design of Stainless Steel.

Three capacity components at elevated temperatures are calculated:

- Compression resistance, P_c
- Buckling resistance moment about major axis, M_b
- Moment capacity about minor axis, M_c

Step 3 - Obtaining the Fire Load on the Structure

Structural engineer has developed the structural model for further analysis

At fire loading case: 1.0 Dead Load + 0.33 Wind Load (as per BS 5950-8 (2003))

- Removed the critical structural member impinged by flame from the model
- Load will redistribute to remaining structure

Obtained the resultant loadings on remaining structural elements from the model (as a result of the removal of the critical structural element affected by fire)

- Axial force, F_c
- Bending Moment 1 (BM1)
- Bending Moment 2 (BM2)

Hand calculated the equilibrium uniform moment factors per BS5950-1:200

- m_{LT}
- m_y

Step 4 - Check Load/Capacity Ratio

Perform load/capacity ratio check for each all structural members using the values from Step 2 and Step 3

Load-Capacity ratio ≤ 1 .